City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

offered by Department of Public and International Affairs with effect from Semester A 2024/25

Part I Course Overv	view
Course Title:	MAPPM Dissertation
Course Code:	PIA6903
Course Duration:	Two semesters This course falls under the academic regulation for dissertation-type courses (AR12.6). Normal duration of the course: 2 semesters (either Semester B + Summer Term for full-time students or Semester A + Semester B for part time students). Maximum extension duration of the course: 3 semesters. After which no further extension is permitted.
Credit Units:	3
Level:	P6
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English

Applicable to students admitted in 2023/24 and thereafter

To be eligible to undertake a Dissertation, part-time students will be required to:

- 1. have completed PIA6603 Research Methods in Public Policy with Grade Aor above;
- 2. have gained at the end of their first two semesters of study a CGPA of 3.4; and
- 3. submit a formal Dissertation Proposal of at least 2,000 words by the end of their first semester B in which they set out:
- a. their proposed topic and supervisor;
- b. their aims and objectives;
- c. their rationale for the study (their rationale should also include a short literature review that helps to locate their study in a wider theoretical and, if appropriate, applied literature);
- d. their proposed methods; and
- e. their development plan including schedules and resource requirements. The Dissertation Coordinator (course leader of PIA6903) will review the Dissertation Proposal in consultation with the proposed supervisor and make the final approval decision based on the completeness and realism of the Dissertation Proposal and the recommendation of the proposed supervisor.

1

Prerequisites:

(Course Code and Title)

To be eligible to undertake a Dissertation, full-time students will be required to:

- 1. have completed PIA6603 Research Methods in Public Policy with Grade Aor above;
- 2. have gained at the end of their first semester of study a CGPA of 3.4; and
- 3. submit a formal Dissertation Proposal of at least 2,000 words by the end of their first semester A in which they set out:
- a. their proposed topic and supervisor;
- b. their aims and objectives;
- c. their rationale for the study (their rationale should also include a short literature review that helps to locate their study in a wider theoretical and, if appropriate, applied literature);
- d. their proposed methods; and
- e. their development plan including schedules and resource requirements. The Dissertation Coordinator (course leader of PIA6903) will review the Dissertation Proposal in consultation with the proposed supervisor and make the final approval decision based on the completeness and realism of the Dissertation Proposal and the recommendation of the proposed supervisor.

Precursors: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Equivalent Courses : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Exclusive Courses: (Course Code and Title)	PIA6604 MAPPM Capstone Project

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

This course aims to enhance students' ability to carry out independent research and to develop expertise in a chosen subject area. Through their work on the dissertation students should be able to demonstrate initiative and intellectual achievement in their application of the theory and techniques provided by the course as well as their abilities to discuss critically the chosen subject matter and the principles being applied. Where appropriate, the dissertation also aims to enable students to enhance their professional development and to make a research-based contribution to the understanding of important issues within their own organizations.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting (if applicable)	curricu learnin	very-englum reag outco e tick oriate) A2	lated omes
1.	Discover and identify a relevant issue or topic on which to undertake a substantial piece of individual research		X	Х	
2.	Think and debate critically on a focused subject area of the course		X	X	
3.	Develop a research strategy and methodology through which they will seek and use quantitative and qualitative data and materials relevant to their chosen subject area		X	Х	X
4.	Define the scope and provide a rationale for the specific focus of their dissertation		X	Х	Х
5.	Identify and critically analyse, synthesize and evaluate appropriate public policy, management knowledge and theories relating to their topic		X	X	X
6.	Create and communicate the rationale, methods, appropriate data, analysis and conclusions of their research in a written dissertation		X	Х	Х
		100%			

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3.

Learning and Teaching Activities (LTAs)
(LTAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

LTA	Brief Description	CILO No.			Hours/week			
	•	1	2	3	4	5	6	(if applicable)
Supervised individual dissertation	Each student will be required to work independently, undertaking a new and individual piece of work related to the course. S/he will be required to identify a dissertation supervisor from the academic staff before undertaking the dissertation course. The principal function of the supervision is to keep the dissertation activities focused, relevant and productive. It is the student's responsibility to initiate and maintain contact with the supervisor at such times and frequency as is mutually agreed between them. The development of the dissertation normally includes the following stages: • Approval of a dissertation Coordinator); • Approval of ethical review (if it involves human subject, see the end of this section for details); • Approval of the draft dissertation (by the supervisor); • Submission of the completed dissertation to the Dissertation Coordinator. The length of the main text of the dissertation shall be in the range of 10,000 words excluding references. The main text may be supplemented by footnotes and appendices.							0.75 hour per week of staff time per student for supervision (total 9.75 hours per semester, including the Summer Semester)

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)
(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CILO No.		Weighting	Remarks				
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Continuous Assessment: 100%	Continuous Assessment: 100%							
The dissertation will be marked							100%	
by the dissertation supervisor							Coursework	
and by a second assessor. Each								
assessor will mark to a								
maximum of 50%, giving a								
total of 100% for the								
dissertation. Where the marks								
awarded by the two assessors								
differ widely, a third examiner								
will be appointed. The								
dissertation could be moderated								
by the External Academic Advisor. The assessment of the								
dissertation will be based on the								
criteria for assessment and the								
defined levels of competence as								
per Appendix A.								
Examination: 0% (duration:	1	if	appl	icabl		1		
L'Autimation. 070 (duration.		, 11	аррі.	Caon	<i>-</i>		1000/	

100%

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Applicable to students admitted before Semester A 2022/23 and in Semester A 2024/25 & thereafter

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
Dissertation	Ability to discover	Clear mastery over a	Fairly good	Basic understanding of	Poor	Almost no
	feasible topic for	variety of the research	understanding of the	the research area	understanding	understanding
	research; and the	area chosen. Creative	research area chosen.	chosen. Minimal	of the research	of the research
	level of research,	thinking as	Reasonable ability to	aptitude to discover	area chosen.	area chosen.
	writing and	demonstrated by astute	discover research topics	worthy research topics.	Poor ability to	Unable to
	communication	observations, discovery	and to develop a	Barely adequate ability	develop a	develop and
	skills.	aptitude, and	feasible research.	to develop a feasible	feasible project	execute a
		imaginations. Strong	Meaningful findings	research. Only some	plan. Findings	feasible
		ability to develop a	deduced from field	useful findings deduced	from field	research.
		feasible research.	survey. Good research,	from field	survey are	Findings from
		Insightful findings	writing and	survey. Basic	insignificant.	field survey are
		deduced from field	communication skills.	research, writing and	Weak research,	inconsistent. Ina
		surveys. Excellent		communication skills.	writing and	dequate
		research, writing and			communication	research,
		communication skills.			skills.	writing and
						communication
						skills.

Applicable to students admitted from Semester A 2022/23 to Summer Term 2024

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B)	(B-, C+, C)	(F)
Dissertation	Ability to discover feasible	Clear mastery over a	Fairly good	Basic understanding of	Almost no
	topic for research; and the	variety of the research	understanding of the	the research area	understanding of the
	level of research, writing and	area chosen. Creative	research area chosen.	chosen. Minimal	research area chosen.
	communication skills.	thinking as	Reasonable ability to	aptitude to discover	Unable to develop and
		demonstrated by astute	discover research topics	worthy research topics.	execute a feasible
		observations, discovery	and to develop a	Barely adequate ability	research. Findings from
		aptitude, and	feasible research.	to develop a feasible	field survey are
		imaginations. Strong	Meaningful findings	research. Only some	inconsistent. Inadequate
		ability to develop a	deduced from field	useful findings deduced	research, writing and
		feasible research.	survey. Good research,	from field	communication skills.

surveys. Excellent research, writing and	writing and communication skills.	survey. Basic research, writing and communication skills.	
communication skills.			

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Supervised individual dissertation, literature review, problem identification, causes and problem analysis, recommendations for change, research and writing.

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1.	Roberts, C. (2012). The Dissertation Journey: A Practical and Comprehensive Guide to
	Planning, Writing, and Defending Your Dissertation. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.
2.	Cooley, L. (2003) Dissertation writing in practice: turning ideas into text. Hong Kong: Hong
	Kong University Press.
3.	Graziano, A., & Raulin, M. (2014). Research methods: A process of inquiry (Eight edition,
	Pearson new international ed.). Harlow, Essex, England: Pearson Education.
4.	Jackson, S. (2012). Research methods and statistics: A critical thinking approach (4th ed.).
	Australia: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
5.	Giltrow, J. (2002) 3 rd ed. Academic writing: writing and reading in the disciplines.
	Peterborough, Ont.: Broadview Press.
6.	Slade, C., & Perrin, R. (2008). Form & style: Research papers, reports, theses (13th ed.).
	Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
7.	O'Sullivan, E., Rassel, G., Berner, M., & Taliaferro, J. (2016). Research Methods for Public
	Administrators. Milton: Routledge.
8.	Johnson, G. (2014). Research methods for public administrators (Third ed.). Armonk, New
	York: M.E. Sharpe.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

PIA6903 MAPPM Dissertation

Competence Level

assessment high

Topic and Thesis Definition	Clearly & effectively defines a significant and substantial dissertation topic and argument demanding a complex level of professional problem-solving	Defines a significant and substantial dissertation topic and argument demanding a complex level of professional problem-solving	Partially defines a significant and substantial dissertation topic and argument demanding a complex level of professional problem-solving	Does not define a significant and substantial dissertation topic and argument demanding a complex level of professional problem-solving
Structure and Methodology	Provides a thorough rationale for selection of methodology, including identification of limitations of chosen methodology; consistently follows chosen methodology throughout the dissertation	Provides a rationale for selection of methodology, including identification of limitations of chosen methodology; generally follows chosen methodology throughout the dissertation	Partially provides a rationale for selection of methodology, including identification of limitations of chosen methodology; basically follows chosen methodology throughout the dissertation	Does not provide a thorough rationale for selection of methodology, including identification of limitations of chosen methodology; does not follow chosen methodology throughout the dissertation
Breadth and Depth of Research	Integrates relevant and adequate research and original source documents that support the argument	Integrates some relevant and adequate research and original source documents that support the argument	Integrates minimal relevant and adequate research and original source documents that support the argument	Does not integrate relevant and adequate research and original source documents that support the argument
Integration of learning	Consistently integrates and draws upon professional experience, practice, course- based knowledge, and research in the field to support one's position or perspective	Overall integrates and draws upon professional experience or practice, coursebased knowledge, and research in the field to support one's position or perspective	Occasionally demonstrates ability to integrate and draw upon professional experience or practice, course- based knowledge, and research in the field to support one's position or perspective	Rarely demonstrates ability to integrate and draw upon professional experience or practice, course- based knowledge, and research in the field to support one's position or perspective

Analysis	Presents original analysis that is clearly argued throughout, including analysis of relevant literature, data collected, and illustrations used to support or develop the argument	Overall presents original analysis that is argued throughout, including analysis of relevant literature, data collected, and illustrations used to support or develop the argument	Presents minimal original analysis, including minimal analysis of relevant literature, data collected, or illustrations used to support or develop the argument.	Presents <i>no</i> original analysis, including minimal analysis of relevant literature, data collected, or illustrations used to support or develop the argument.
Conclusions	Offers well-argued conclusions supported by vigorous analysis and incorporation of evidence	Reflects well-argued conclusions supported by analysis and incorporation of evidence	Conclusions only partially supported by analysis and incorporation of evidence	Conclusions are <i>not</i> supported by analysis and incorporation of evidence
Recommendations:	Makes strong links between findings and the wider literature and debate to ground viable recommendations	Makes links between findings and the wider literature and debate to ground viable recommendations	Makes some attempt to link findings and the wider literature and debate to ground recommendations	Makes little or no attempt to link findings and the wider literature and debate to ground recommendations
Conventions of English	Few, if any, minor errors in sentence construction, usage, grammar, or mechanics	There may be a few minor or major errors in sentence construction, usage, grammar, or mechanics.	There are some common errors (major and minor) in sentence construction and mechanics but the writer generally demonstrates a correct sense of syntax.	There are numerous minor errors and some major errors. Sentence construction is below mastery and may display a pattern of errors in usage and mechanics.
Integration of Appropriate Sources	Integrates a variety of relevant sources, data, and fieldwork findings	Integrates some variety of relevant sources, data, fieldwork	Integrates minimal variety of relevant sources, data, fieldwork	Does not integrate a variety of relevant sources, data, or fieldwork
Personal Learning and Development	Demonstrates high level of independent and critical thinking that also contributes to student's personal and professional learning and development	Demonstrates some independent and critical thinking that also contributes to students' personal and professional learning and development	Evidence that the student occasionally demonstrates some independent and critical thinking that contributes to some personal or professional learning and development	Little or no evidence that the student demonstrates independent and critical thinking that contributes to personal or professional learning and development