City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus offered by the Department of Management with effect from Semester A in 2024/2025

Part I Course Overview

Course Title:	Decision-Making in Management and Innovation	
Course Code:	MGT 5507	
Course Duration:	One Semester	
Credit Units:	3	
Level:	P5	
Medium of Instruction:	English	
Medium of Assessment:	English	
Prerequisites:		
	Nil	
Precursors:		
Course Code and Title)	Nil	
Equivalent Courses: Course Code and Title)	Nil	
Exclusive Courses:		
Course Code and Title)	MGT4216 Behavioural Decision Making	

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

Upon the completion of this course:

- 1) Students develop the practical skills to make effective decisions in their personal and professional life;
- 2) Students learn the key concepts, terminology, models, and principles of decision-making relevant to professional careers in management and innovation; and
- 3) Students systematically review their own decisions in management and innovation.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting (if applicable)	curricu learnin	ery-enr lum rel g outco tick riate)	lated omes
1			A1	A2	A3
1.	Explain and describe decision-making processes and outcomes in management and innovation by using appropriate concepts and principles.			✓	✓
2.	Describe how rational decision-making is inhibited by human factors and affected by heuristics and biases			√	✓
3.	Explain how different styles, values (personal and cultural) and contexts affect both decision-making processes and outcomes		√	√	√
4.	Apply appropriate tools and techniques to analyze and interpret how investors, managers, entrepreneurs, and business people make real-life management and innovation-related decisions		✓	✓	✓
5.	Discuss one's own decisions in management and innovation.		√	√	
•		100%			

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability
Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines

or applying academic knowledge to real-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3. Learning and Teaching Activities (LTAs)

(LTAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

LTA	Brief Description	CIL	O No).	Hours/week applicable)	(if		
		1	2	3	4	5		
Lectures and presentations	Students will engage in the lectures that communicate descriptive ("what", "where", etc.), explanatory ("why"), and procedural ("how to") knowledge about decision-making in management and innovation.	X	X	X				
Writing	Students will complete the individual and group papers which are based on the analysis and interpretation of specific decisions or decision contexts related to management and innovation	X	X	X	X			
Drill and practice/case studies	Students will complete exercises and case studies, to develop intellectual and practical skills that apply basic knowledge to decision-making in management and innovation.	X	X	X	X			
Class discussions	Students will discuss with their peers to provide and share relevant experiences.	X	X		X	X		

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CILO No.						Weighting	Remarks	
	1	2	3	4	5	6			
Continuous Assessment: 60%									
Individual paper that describe and explain and then subsequently reflect upon the student's own decisions.	X	X	X				10%		
Group (project) paper to nurture team decision- making skills while analyzing and interpreting a specific decision and/or a decision context related to management and innovation	X	X	X	X			30%		
Participation in case studies and exercises and contribution to class discussions.	X	X	X	X			20%		
Examination: 40% (duration: (2	Но	irs),	if ap	plica	ble)				
Examination to assess the ability to apply fundamental concepts, principles, and models in different contexts related to management and innovation	X	X		X	X		40%		
						<u> </u>	100%		

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Applicable to students admitted from Semester A 2022/23 to Summer Term 2024

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B)	(B-, C+, C)	(F)
Participation	Preparation	Student is prepared always	Student is prepared	Student is prepared	Student is often poorly
		for class with assignments	frequently for class with	sometimes for class with	prepared for class with
		and required materials.	assignments and	assignments and other	assignments and other
			required materials.	materials	materials
	Engagement	Student frequently	Student often contributes	Student makes some	Student almost never
		contributes by offering	by offering ideas,	contributions by offering	contributes by offering
		ideas, sharing experiences,	sharing experiences, and	ideas, sharing experiences,	ideas, sharing experiences,
		and asking questions.	asking questions.	and asking questions.	and asking questions.
	Behavior	Student frequently displays	Student occasionally	Student occasionally	Student often displays
		facilitative behavior during	displays facilitative	displays disruptive behavior	disruptive behavior during
		class.	behavior during class.	during class.	class.
Individual paper		As in B+ to B but	A good reflection on	A fair reflection on your	No paper turned in or
		completed to a superior	your individual	individual experience in	below 'Marginal' level.
		standard in terms of	experience in activities	activities or experiences	
		analysis, insight, and	or experiences outside	outside classroom. No clear	
		writing.	classroom. Good	evidence that analysis and	
			evidence that analysis	research have been done,	
			and research have been	and that the negotiation	
			done, and that the	skills learnt on the course	
			negotiation skills learnt	have not been clearly	
			on the course have been	demonstrated. The	
			demonstrated. The	description of the outcomes	

		1			
			outcomes of the	of the application lacks	
			applications are	either details or careful	
			reviewed in details and	thought. Average	
			in a thoughtful manner.	organization, structure and	
			Good organization,	language use.	
			structure and language		
			use.		
Group ((Project)	As in Good but with a	The arguments	The arguments are relevant,	Poor arguments, with little
Paper		higher degree of originality	demonstrate a good	accurate but they fall short	theoretical/conceptual
		and internalization to form	appreciation of the	of a comprehensive	grounding and
		a well-defined perspective	issues, theory/conceptual	understanding of the issues,	understanding of the
		on the issues. Strong	framework and the	theory/conceptual	materials and the context
		evidence of reflection on	context involved with	framework and the context	involved. No originality,
		own position based on a	indications of reflection	involved. Some evidence of	weak justification of
		comprehensive	on own position. Some	learning from the	conclusions and poorly
		understanding of	new insights and	assignment and of the	structured.
		theory/conceptual	questions offered with	ability to apply it. Fair	
		framework and the context	clear evidence of	justification of arguments	
		involved. Generalizes	learning from the	and conclusions but little	
		principles, models or	assignment and of the	originality demonstrated.	
		practices to generate new	ability to apply it. A	Assignment structure needs	
		insights and questions. A	well-structured	improvement.	
		soundly structured	assignment with		
		assignment with balanced	conclusions properly		
		and compelling conclusions	grounded in the		
		thoroughly grounded in the	arguments and		

	arguments presented.	convincingly justified.		
Examination	Strong evidence of original	Evidence of a grasp of	A student who has profited	Little evidence of
	thinking; good	the subject with	from the course; some	familiarity with the subject
	organization, capacity to	indications of critical	understanding of the	matter; poor critical and
	organize and synthesize	capacity and analytical	subject with some ability to	analytical skills; ignorance
	with superior grasp of the	ability; reasonable	think analytically and to	of the literature
	subject matter; evidence of	understanding of the	offer adequate responses to	
	extensive knowledge base.	issues with good	the questions.	
		responses to the		
		questions.		

Applicable to students admitted before Semester A 2022/23 and in Semester A 2024/25 & thereafter

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
Participation	Punctuality	Student is always	Student is	Student is late to	Student is late to	Student shows
		punctual and in	frequently punctual	class and/or leaves	class and/or leaves	serious attendance
		attendance.	and in attendance.	early sometimes/	early often.	problems.
	Preparation	Student is prepared	Student is prepared	Student is prepared	Student is rarely	Student is often
	· F	always for class with	frequently for class	sometimes for class	prepared for class	poorly prepared for
		assignments and	with assignments	with assignments	with assignments and	class with
		required materials.	and required	and other materials	other materials	assignments and
			materials.			other materials
	Engagement	Student frequently	Student often	Student makes	Student barely	Student almost never
		contributes by	contributes by	some contributions	contributes by	contributes by
		offering ideas, sharing	offering ideas,	by offering ideas,	offering ideas,	offering ideas,
		experiences, and	sharing	sharing	sharing experiences,	sharing experiences,
		asking questions.	experiences, and	experiences, and	and asking questions.	and asking questions.
			asking questions.	asking questions.		

Individual paper	Behavior	Student frequently displays facilitative behavior during class. As in B+ to B- but completed to a superior standard in terms of analysis, insight, and writing.	Student occasionally displays facilitative behavior during class. A good reflection on your individual experience in activities or experiences outside classroom. Good evidence that analysis and research have been done, and that the negotiation skills learnt on the course have been demonstrated. The outcomes of the applications are reviewed in details and in a thoughtful manner. Good organization, structure and language use.	Student occasionally displays disruptive behavior during class. A fair reflection on your individual experience in activities or experiences outside classroom. No clear evidence that analysis and research have been done, and that the negotiation skills learnt on the course have not been clearly demonstrated. The description of the outcomes of the application lacks either details or careful thought. Average organization, structure and	Student displays disruptive behavior during class. An unconvincing or confusing real life negotiation which does not reflect your individual experience or other activities and experiences outside classroom. No description of the outcomes of the application. No action plan. Poor organization, structure and language use.	Student often displays disruptive behavior during class. No paper turned in or below 'Marginal' level.
		As in Good but with a	The everyments	language use.	The arguments are	Poor arguments, with
Group Project Report		As in Good but with a higher degree of originality and internalization to form a well-defined perspective on the issues. Strong evidence of reflection on own position based on a comprehensive	The arguments demonstrate a good appreciation of the issues, theory/conceptual framework and the context involved with indications of reflection on own	The arguments are relevant, accurate but they fall short of a comprehensive understanding of the issues, theory/conceptual framework and the context involved.	The arguments are relevant and accurate but isolated, addressing the issues only in part and lacking both a strong grounding in theory/conceptual framework and understanding of the	Poor arguments, with little theoretical/conceptual grounding and understanding of the materials and the context involved. No originality, weak justification of

	understanding of theory/conceptual framework and the context involved. Generalizes principles, models or practices to generate new insights and questions. A soundly structured assignment with balanced and compelling conclusions thoroughly grounded in the arguments presented.	position. Some new insights and questions offered with clear evidence of learning from the assignment and of the ability to apply it. A well-structured assignment with conclusions properly grounded in the arguments and convincingly justified.	Some evidence of learning from the assignment and of the ability to apply it. Fair justification of arguments and conclusions but little originality demonstrated. Assignment structure needs improvement.	materials. No originality, weak justification of conclusions and poorly structured.	conclusions and poorly structured.
Examination	Strong evidence of original thinking; good organization, capacity to organize and synthesize with superior grasp of the subject matter; evidence of extensive knowledge base.	Evidence of a grasp of the subject with indications of critical capacity and analytical ability; reasonable understanding of the issues with good responses to the questions.	A student who has profited from the course; some understanding of the subject with some ability to think analytically and to offer adequate responses to the questions.	Sufficient familiarity with the subject and of ability to respond to the questions as to justify consideration of the student for progression.	Little evidence of familiarity with the subject matter; poor critical and analytical skills; ignorance of the literature

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Managers, businesspeople, entrepreneurs, and decision-making; fundamental goals, concepts, and principles of decision-making; analysis; judgment; intuition; structures and systems; power and politics; decision-making processes and models; bounded rationality; cognitive heuristics and biases; availability, representativeness, and anchoring; decision traps; decision styles and cultural values; diversity in decision processes and choices; individual versus group decisions; management decisions and business psychology; technological applications to support decision making; investment decisions and behavioral finance; psychology of market behavior, organizational change, creativity and innovations.

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1. Bazerman, M. Judgment in managerial decision making, latest edition. Wiley.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

1.	Heath, C. & Heath, D. (2013). <i>Decisive: How to make better choices in life and work.</i> Crown Business.
2.	Huang, Q., Leonard, J. & Chen, T. (1997). Business decision making in China. International Business Press.
3.	Hussey, D. (Ed.) (1998). The strategic decision challenge. Wiley.
	Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. Econometrica, 47, 263-291.
4.	Kahneman, D., & Lovallo, D. (1993). Timid choices and bold forecasts: A cognitive perspective on risk taking. Management Science, 39, 17-31.
5.	Kahneman, D., Knetsch, J. L., & Thaler, R. H. (1986). Fairness as a constraint on profit seeking: Entitlements in the market. <u>The American Economic Review, 76,</u> No. 4, 728-741.
6.	Plous, S. (1993). The psychology of judgment and decision making. McGraw-Hill.
7.	Russo, J.E. & Schoemaker, P.J.H. (1990). Decision traps: The ten barriers to brilliant decision making and how to overcome them. Simon & Schuster.
8.	Schwenk, C.R. (1988). The essence of strategic decision making. Lexington Books.
9.	Shefrin, H. (2000). Beyond greed and fear: Understanding behavioural finance and the psychology of investing, Harvard Business School Press.
10	Proctor, T. (2018). Creative problem solving for managers: developing skills for decision making and innovation. Routledge.