City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

Offered by Department of Linguistics and Translation with effect from Semester A 2024 / 25

Part I Course Overv	riew
Course Title:	Functional Approaches to Syntax
Course Code:	LT5431
Course Duration:	One Semester
Credit Units:	3
Level:	P5
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
Prerequisites: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Precursors: (Course Code and Title)	NGI
Equivalent Courses :	
(Course Code and Title)	Nil
Exclusive Courses: (Course Code and Title)	Nil

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

This course is designed to give a general survey of current syntactic theories, with a special emphasis on functional approaches to syntax. It aims to explore the differences between 'formal' and 'functional' paradigms for syntactic analysis, with a thorough discussion of their 1) theoretical premises, 2) research concerns, 3) data collection 4) analytical methodology, and 5) explanatory principles. The class will gradually prepare students to master the functional theories from more assessable to more challenging approaches. The class will first lay a foundation by focusing on the form-function associations manifested in the English grammar and then progress to introduce a variety of functional explanatory mechanisms with illustrations from a wide range of languages. The ultimate goal of this class is to familiarize students with a wide spectrum of contemporary syntactic theories that take grammar as coding devices for coherent communication. "Syntax codes what people do the most." – T. Givón.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No. 1	CILOs Review and discuss their weekly readings Demonstrate critical thinking, clear organization, teamwork,	Weighting (if applicable) 20%	learnin	lum rel g outco tick	lated omes
2	and oral skills in group presentation	2070			
3.	Describe and explain the theoretical constructs in a debate on formal vs. functional approaches: Functional vs. formal premises and analyse of the same linguistic issue	5%	√	✓	✓
4.	Demonstrate analytical skills on the form-meaning mapping relations with regard to the following issues: Nouns and Verbs Topic vs. Subject Information status Preference and definiteness Passive construction Transitivity	25%	✓	√	√
5.	Apply one of the theoretical approaches discussed in class to the analysis of a set of chosen data (final project): > Givónian Semantics > Discourse and grammar	30%	✓	√	✓

>	Cognitive semantics			
\triangleright	Construction grammar			
	Corpus-based approach			
\triangleright	Emergent Grammar			
\triangleright	Grammaticalization/constructionalization			
		100%		

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to real-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3. Learning and Teaching Activities (LTAs)

(LTAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

LTA	Brief Description	CIL	O No.		Hours/week (if		
		1	2	3	4	5	applicable)
Weekly adventure	Students will read assigned	✓					2-3 hours/wk
	materials for group discussion						
Group production	Student groups will deliver		✓				1-2 hours/sem
	oral presentations in class						
Debate	Students will be divided into			✓			2-3 hours/sem
	groups for debating about the						
	different approaches to the						
	same issue (the passive voice)						
Discovery project	Students will apply what is					✓	10-20
	learned in class to a hands-on						hours/sem
	project on analysing a set of						
	data they collected						

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CII	CILO No.			Weighting	Remarks	
	1	2	3	4	5		
Continuous Assessment: 100%							
Weekly adventure	✓					20%	
Group creative production and presentation		✓				20%	
Debate on analytical approaches		✓	✓			5%	
Mid-term innovative review				✓		25%	
Final discovery project					√	30%	
Examination:% (duration:		,	if ap	plica	ble)		

100%

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Applicable to students admitted before Semester A 2022/23 and in Semester A 2024/25 & thereafter

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
Weekly readings	Clear and critical	Excellent ability in	Good ability in	Satisfactory ability	Barely adequate	Little or no evidence
(20%)	understanding of the	demonstrating the	demonstrating	in demonstrating	evidence in	of the said ability
	reading	said criteria	the said criteria	the said criteria	demonstrating the	
					said criteria	
Group production	Clear, interesting, and well-	Excellent ability in	Good ability in	Satisfactory ability	Barely adequate	Little or no evidence in
and presentation	organized oral presentation	demonstrating the	demonstrating	in demonstrating	evidence in	demonstrating the said
(20%)		said criteria	the said criteria	the said criteria	demonstrating the	criteria
					said criteria	
Debate	Clear, interesting and well-	Excellent ability in	Good ability in	Satisfactory ability	Barely adequate	Little or no evidence in
(5%)	delivered arguments for the	demonstrative the	demonstrating	in demonstrating	evidence in	demonstrating the said
	chosen approach	said criteria	the said criteria	the said criteria	demonstrating the	criteria
					said criteria	
Mid-term review	Clear, accurate and well-	Excellent ability in	Good ability in	Satisfactory ability	Barely adequate	Little or no evidence in
(25%)	presented written text of the	demonstrating the	demonstrating	in demonstrating	evidence in	demonstrating the said
	assigned topics	said criteria	the said criteria	the said criteria	demonstrating the	criteria
					said criteria	
Discovery Project	Clear, interesting and well-	Excellent ability in	Good ability in	Satisfactory ability	Barely adequate	Little or no evidence in
(30%)	argued analysis of a set of	demonstrating the	demonstrating	in demonstrating	evidence in	demonstrating the said
	chosen data with an abstract	said criteria	the said criteria	the said criteria	demonstrating the	criteria
	for conference presentation				said criteria	

Applicable to students admitted from Semester A 2022/23 to Summer Term 2024

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B)	Marginal (B-, C+, C)	Failure (F)
Weekly readings (20%)	Clear and critical understanding of the reading	Excellent ability in demonstrating the said criteria	Good ability in demonstrating the said criteria	Barely adequate evidence in demonstrating the said criteria	Little or no evidence of the said ability
Group production and presentation (20%)	Clear, interesting, and well- organized oral presentation	Excellent ability in demonstrating the said criteria	Good ability in demonstrating the said criteria	Barely adequate evidence in demonstrating the said criteria	Little or no evidence in demonstrating the said criteria
Debate (5%)	Clear, interesting and well-delivered arguments for the chosen approach	Excellent ability in demonstrative the said criteria	Good ability in demonstrating the said criteria	Barely adequate evidence in demonstrating the said criteria	Little or no evidence in demonstrating the said criteria
Mid-term review (25%)	Clear, accurate and well- presented written text of the assigned topics	Excellent ability in demonstrating the said criteria	Good ability in demonstrating the said criteria	Barely adequate evidence in demonstrating the said criteria	Little or no evidence in demonstrating the said criteria
Discovery Project (30%)	Clear, interesting and well- argued analysis of a set of chosen data with an abstract for conference presentation	Excellent ability in demonstrating the said criteria	Good ability in demonstrating the said criteria	Barely adequate evidence in demonstrating the said criteria	Little or no evidence in demonstrating the said criteria

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

Topics to be covered in class discussion include:

- Formal vs. Functional approaches to syntax
- > Theoretical framework: Grammar as form-function association
- > Definitions of 'function'
- **Explanatory Principles**
- Discourse basis for syntactic categories
- Discourse and cognition
- Argument structure and information status
- Language universal
- > Ergative case marking
- > Transitivity
- ► Construction grammar
- Emergent Grammar
- Corpus-based approach
- > Grammaticalization and lexicalization

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

10 core readings for MA students (A packet of required readings will be available for use):

- 1. Givón, T. 1993. *English Grammar: a function-based introduction*. Chapter 1 and Chapter 7. Amsterdam: Benjamins Publishing Co.
- 2. Li, Charles and Sandra Thompson. 1976. Subject and topic: a new typology of language, in *Subject and Topic*, ed. by Charles Li. Academic Press.
- 3. Hopper, Paul and Sandra Thompson. 1984. The discourse basis for lexical categories in universal grammar. *Language* (60): 703-753.
- 4. Chafe, Wallace. 1987. Cognitive constraints on information flow, in *Coherence and Grounding in Discourse*, ed. By Russell Tomlin.
- 5. Goldberg, Adele. 1995. Constructions. A Construction Grammar Approach to Argument Structure. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- 6. Thompson, Sandra, and Paul Hopper. 1997. *Emergent grammar and argument structure: evidence from conversation*. Paper presented at the Symposium on Discourse and grammar.
- 7. Traugott, Elizabeth and Berd Heine. Introduction. In Approaches to Grammaticalization, vol. 2, eds. by E. Traugott and B. Heine, 1-14. Amsterdam: Benjamins Publishing Co.
- 8. Thompson, Sandra, and Anthony Mulac. 1991. A quantitative perspective on grammaticalization of epistemic parentheticals in English. In *Approaches to Grammaticalization*, vol. 2, eds. by E. Traugott and B. Heine, 313-329. Amsterdam: Benjamins Publishing Co.
- 9. Heine, Bernd, Ulrike Claudi and F. Hunnemeyer. 1991. From cognition to grammar evidence from African Languages. In Approaches to grammaticalization, eds by E. Traugott and B. Heine, 150-187. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- 10. Liu, Meichun. 1997. From motion verb to linking element: discourse explanations for the grammaticalization of JIU in Mandarin Chinese. Journal of Chinese Linguistics (25): 259-289.

Four more challenging readings for doctoral students:

- 11. Givón, T. 1984. Syntax Vol. I. Background. Amsterdam: Benjamins Publishing Co.
- 12. Hopper, Paul and Sandra Thompson. 1980. Transitivity in grammar and discourse. *Language* (56): 251-299.
- 13. Du Bois, John. 1987. The discourse basis for ergativity. Language (63): 805-855.
- 14. Bybee, Joan. 2006. From usage to grammar: the mind's response to repetition. Language 82(4). 711-733.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

1.	Givón, T. (1984). Syntax, Vol. I & II. Amsterdam/Chicago: Amsterdam: J. Benjamins
	Publishing Co.
2.	Givón, T. (1993). English Grammar: a function-based introduction. Vol. I and II.
	Amsterdam/Chicago: Benjamins Publishing Co.
3	Traugott, E, and B. Heine. 1991. Approaches to Grammaticalization. Amsterdam/Chicago:
	John Benjamins Publishing Co.
4	Big, Yung-O, James Tai, Sandra Thompson. 1996. Recent developments in functional
	approaches to Chinese. In New Horizons in Chinese Linguistics, Ch. 3., eds. by C-T. James
	Huang, Y-H Audrey Li. Kluwer Academic Publishing.
5	Haegeman, L. 1991. Liliane. Introduction to Government and Binding Theory. 1991.
	Oxford: Basil Blackwell.