City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

offered by Department of English with effect from Semester A 2024/25

Part I Course Overv	view
Course Title:	Studies in Short Fiction
Course Code:	EN6523
Course Duration:	One semester
Credit Units:	3 credits
Level:	P6
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of	
Assessment: Prerequisites:	English
(Course Code and Title) Precursors:	None
(Course Code and Title)	None
Equivalent Courses: (Course Code and Title)	None
Exclusive Courses: (Course Code and Title)	None

1

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

This course explores the imaginative possibilities of short fictional narratives by examining a range of texts from various historical periods and cultural contexts. Students can expect to read widely from different genres and forms including fairy tales, fables, gothic tales, modernist short stories, postcolonial short fiction, novellas, contemporary micro-fiction, flash fiction or other new media forms. Through class discussions, responses, a presentation, and an essay, students will explore, examine, and debate the limitations, affordances, and rewards of 'shorter' forms of literary expression. At the same time, students will develop an appreciation for how literary genres and forms evolve historically and are affected by relevant changes in media and publishing environments.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting	Discov		
		(if	curricu		
		applicable)	learnin		
			(please	tick	where
			approp	riate)	
			AI	A2	A3
1.	Students identify key writers, texts, concepts related to		v	v	
	literary and cultural studies.				
2.	Students understand the aesthetic and creative aspects of		v	v	
	literature by exploring themes and genres of diverse texts				
3.	Students apply critical reading, thinking, and writing skills		v	v	v
	in interpreting literary and cultural texts				
4.	Students assess the characteristics of literature and		v	v	v
	understand relevant interdisciplinary possibilities				
		100%			

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to real-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

Learning and Teaching Activities (LTAs) (LTAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

LTA	Brief Description	CIL	O No.			Hours/week
		1	2	3	4	(if applicable)
Interactive lecturing and discussion	Each week students will be introduced to texts and will be encouraged to discuss and analyse them in pairs and in groups	V	V	V	V	
Composition and critical analysis of key concepts and ideas	Students will write responses and a research essay, allowing them to engage with key concepts and ideas introduced in class.	V	V	V	V	
Application of knowledge through discussion and presentation	Students will give a presentation related to their project. Presentations will involve the whole class as they provoke discussion and questions	V	V	V	V	

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)
(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities		CILO No.			Weighting Remarks	Remarks
	1	2	3	4		
Continuous Assessment: 100%						
Research Essay: Students reflect and analyse issues using analytical, argumentative, or comparative prisms. They will need to carry out research to support and develop their arguments and ideas.	V	V	V	V	30%	
Reading Responses: Students write regularly on relevant topics in order to gain a deeper understanding of course materials	V	v	V	V	30%	
Presentation: Students will present on a relevant topic that provides perspectives on aspects of a particular literary work.	V	v	V	v	30%	
Class participation: Students are expected to contribute to in-class discussions and to demonstrate their learning in reading relevant materials Examination: 0%	V	v	V	V	10%	

100%

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Applicable to students admitted before Semester A 2022/23 and in Semester A 2024/25 & thereafter

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B, B-)	Fair (C+, C, C-)	Marginal (D)	Failure (F)
1. Research Essay	Organization and	The organization	Organization	Paragraph structure	Some signs of logical	Ideas are not clearly
(30%)	Cohesion	between sentences,	supports thesis.	is evident but is not	organization, but	contextualized.
		paragraphs, and	Transitions	always clear or	there may be abrupt	
		ideas is effective.	between ideas are	logical.	or illogical shifts that	Unclear or incomplete
		The writing is	clear and	Introduction and/or	cause confusion.	organization.
		cohesive and	functional.	conclusion may	Introduction and/or	
		logically organized.	Introduction and	require revision.	conclusion may be	
			conclusion		missing or require	
			demonstrate a		significant revision.	
			clear development			
			of ideas.			
	Language	Exceptionally well	Strong control of	Effective and	There is a high	Almost every
		composed. Words	language and a	functional control. Basic ideas are	density of errors,	sentence has some kind of error, which
		are used with	wide lexical	communicated	causing strain for the	causes serious
		precision and	range.	effectively. Errors cause minimal	reader. The meaning	problems for the reader. The meaning
		accuracy. Clear	Grammatical	distraction.	is sometimes lost or	is often lost or
		command of	structures are		unclear	distorted.
		grammar.	varied and well			
			constructed. Small			
			errors may persist			
			but the meaning is			
			clear.			

	Thesis / Argument	The essay contains	Thesis is clear and	Elements of	Thesis and argument	Reader cannot
		well thought-out	appropriate for the	Marginal and Good	are vague or only	determine thesis &
		ideas that are clearly	writing task.		loosely related to the	purpose OR thesis has
		expressed, original,	There is a sense of		writing task.	no relation to the
		and supported.	originality in the		willing taski	writing task
		and supported.				witting task
	F ('41.41	C1 1	purpose.	F1	G 1: : C	V1:41- :C
	Engagement with the	Shows a deep	The discussion of	Elements of	Some discussion of	Very little if any
	relevant texts	understanding of the	the primary and	Marginal and Good	the relevant texts, but	engagement with
		relevant texts	secondary texts is		mostly in general and	primary and
		including relevant	sufficiently		broad terms. Lacks	secondary texts
		research.	detailed.		details.	
		Sophisticated				
		reading/interpretative				
		skills apparent.				
Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
2. Reading	Engagement and	Demonstrates a very	Demonstrates a	Demonstrates fairly	Demonstrates a	Demonstrates a
Responses (30%)	Support	detailed	good	good understanding	superficial	minimal understanding
		understanding of the course readings.	understanding of relevant texts with	of relevant texts, but lacking in	understanding of relevant texts.	of relevant texts and/or lacking in content.
		Specific and accurate	some specific	detail. There may	Support is not always	meking in content.
		support	support	be some	detailed or accurate.	
	O. i. i 1'4 f i 1	The main ideas of	T1	inaccuracies.	The main ideas are	T1
	Originality of ideas	the main ideas of the response are	The response is coherent and	Main ideas are mostly clear but the	not always clear and	The response appears to be off topic or ideas
		sharp, accurate, and	contains some	response may be	the response appears	are generally
		compelling.	elements of	lacking in terms of	to be lacking in terms	incoherent.
			creativity and	specific or original	of originality or	
			originality.	ideas.	relevance.	

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B, B-)	Fair (C+, C, C-)	Marginal (D)	Failure (F)
3. Presentation (30%)	Engagement with Relevant Texts	In depth analysis and thorough discussion. Insightful and detailed engagement	Good analysis and discussion. Good engagement with a range of texts.	Adequate analysis and discussion with a limited range of texts.	Limited analysis and discussion. Minimal engagement with texts.	Analysis and discussion is inadequate; lacking in terms of engagement
	Organization and Focus	with a range of texts. Very coherent structure and sharp focus	Effective structure and clear focus	Identifiable structure and focus	Weak structure and/or focus	with relevant texts. Confusing structure and/or unidentifiable focus
	Language and Delivery	Spoken delivery is polished and highly effective; meaning is sharp and precise.	Spoken delivery is highly effective; meaning is clear.	Spoken delivery is basically effective; meaning sometimes lost;	Spoken delivery weak; meaning is often lost;	Spoken delivery is poor.
Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B, B-)	Fair (C+, C, C-)	Marginal (D)	Failure (F)
4. Class Participation (10%)	Participation in inclass activities (such as group discussion and writing tasks)	Makes significant contribution to inclass discussion and completes writing tasks satisfactorily.	Makes occasional contribution to inclass group discussion and completes the writing tasks satisfactorily.	Seldom makes contribution to in- class group discussion; completes most of the in-class writing tasks	Little evidence of participation in class; completes very few in-class writing tasks	Fails to sufficiently participate in in-class activities (including discussion and writing)

Applicable to students admitted from Semester A 2022/23 to Summer Term 2024

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B)	Marginal (B-, C+, C)	Failure (F)
1. Research Essay (30%)	Organization and Cohesion	The organization between sentences, paragraphs, and ideas is effective. The writing is cohesive and logically organized.	Organization supports thesis. Transitions between ideas are clear and functional. Introduction and conclusion demonstrate a clear development of ideas.	Structure and organization are evident but may require revision.	Ideas are not clearly contextualized. Unclear or incomplete organization.
	Language	Exceptionally well composed. Words are used with precision and accuracy. Clear command of grammar.	Strong control of language and a wide lexical range. Grammatical structures are varied and well-constructed.	Effective and functional control. Basic ideas are communicated effectively. Errors may cause some distraction.	There is a high density of errors, causing strain for the reader. The meaning is sometimes lost or unclear
	Thesis / Argument	The essay contains well thought-out ideas that are clearly expressed, original, and supported.	Thesis is clear and appropriate for the writing task. There is a sense of originality in the purpose.	Thesis and argument are evident but may need significant revision.	Reader cannot determine thesis & purpose OR thesis has no relation to the writing task
	Engagement with the relevant texts	Shows a deep understanding of the relevant texts including relevant research.	The discussion of the primary and secondary texts is sufficiently detailed.	Evident discussion of the relevant texts but lacking in detail.	Very little if any engagement with primary and secondary texts
2. Reading Responses (30%)	Engagement and Support	Demonstrates a very detailed understanding of the course readings. Specific and accurate support	Demonstrates a good understanding of relevant texts with some specific support	Demonstrates fair understanding of relevant texts, but lacking in detail. There may be some inaccuracies.	Demonstrates a minimal understanding of relevant texts and/or lacking in content.
	Originality of ideas	The main ideas of the response are sharp,	The response is coherent and contains	Main ideas are mostly clear but the response	The response appears to be off topic or ideas are

		accurate, and compelling.	some elements of creativity and originality.	may be lacking in terms of specific or original ideas.	generally incoherent.
3. Presentation (30%)	Engagement with Relevant Texts	In depth analysis and thorough discussion. Insightful and detailed engagement with a range of texts.	The discussion of the primary and secondary texts is sufficiently detailed.	Adequate analysis and discussion with a limited range of texts.	Analysis and discussion are inadequate; lacking in terms of engagement with relevant texts.
	Organization and Focus	Very coherent structure and sharp focus	Effective structure and clear focus	Identifiable structure and focus with occasional lapses or inconsistencies	Weak structure and/or very lacking in terms of focus
	Language and Delivery	Spoken delivery is polished and highly effective; meaning is sharp and precise.	Spoken delivery is effective and meaning is clear.	Spoken delivery is basically effective with occasional inconsistencies	Spoken delivery weak and largely ineffective
4. Class Participation (10%)	Engagement	Makes significant contribution to in-class discussions and activities	Good contribution to inclass discussion and activities	Adequate contribution to in-class discussions and activities	Little evidence of participation in class

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Survey of short fiction, genre studies, media and literature

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings (tentative list: readings will be finalized by the instructor)

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1. Bausch, Richard (ed). *The Norton Anthology of Short Fiction*. Norton, 2015.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

1.	Williford, Lex and Michael Martone. The Scribner Anthology of Contemporary Short
	Fiction: 50 North American Stories Since 1970. Touchstone Books, 2007.
2	Levine, Sara. The Broadview Anthology of Short Fiction. Broadview Press, 2013.
3.	Thomas, James and Robert Shapard (eds.). Flash Fiction International: Very Short
	Stories from Around the World. Norton, 2015.