City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

offered by School of Creative Media with effect from Semester A 2017 /18

Part I Course Over	view
Course Title:	Special Topics in Computer Game Art
Course Code:	SM6336
Course Duration:	1 semester
Credit Units:	3 credits
Level:	P6
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
Prerequisites: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Precursors: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Equivalent Courses : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Exclusive Courses:	Ni:1

1

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

This course is an exploration of one or more practical or theoretical topics pertaining to computer game art. The topics covered in this course will vary from one semester to the next and will depend upon student and instructor interests. Relevant topics may include (but are not limited to) game engines, expressivity and computer games, interfaces, audiovisual aspects of computer games, etc.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting	Discov	very-en	riched
		(if	curricu	ılum re	lated
		applicable)	learnir	ng outco	omes
				e tick	where
			approp	priate)	
			A1	A2	A3
1.	Account for and discuss the key ideas introduced in the		✓	√	✓
	class				
2. ^	Analyze issues and ideas relevant to the topic of the class		✓	✓	✓
3. ^	Conceive and execute research and creative projects		√	√	√
	relevant to the topic of the class				
		100%		•	

[^] Negotiated Learning Outcome (NLO) explicitly articulating the elements of Discovery oriented learning.

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3.

Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs) (TLAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

TLA	Brief Description	CIL	CILO No.			Hours/week (if	
		1	2	3			applicable)
Lectures	Lectures and discussions	✓	✓				
	involving key concepts, work, and						
	artists relevant to computer game						
	art						
Project	Proposals for creative and			✓			
proposal	research projects on computer						
	game art -related topics						
Final project	Drafts and final version of			✓			
	research or creative project						
In-class	Participation in and contribution	✓	√	√			
participation	to class projects						

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CILO No.						Weighting	Remarks	
	1	2	3						
Continuous Assessment: 100%	Continuous Assessment: 100%								
In-class participation	✓	✓	✓						
Final project	✓	✓	✓						
Presentation	✓	✓	✓						
Examination:% (duration:, if applicable)									

100%

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
1. Participation and Performance	This assessment task reviews students' participation and performance in discussions, debates and peer critique during the tutorial sessions. The evidence of 'negotiation', the sign of discovery, lies in students' pre-class preparation and interpersonal sensitivity to his/her peer members.	 Active in-class participation, positive listening, strong ability to stimulate class discussion and comment on other points In-depth pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials Interpret others' views with an open mind and ready to negotiate Readiness to share personal insight via analysis and synthesis with informed views Constructively critical, thus facilitating the discovery of new issues 	 Active in-class participation, positive listening, ability to initiate class discussion and comment on other points Adequate pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials Interpret opinions effectively 	 Attentive in in-class participation, listening with comprehension, but only infrequently contributing Adequate pre-class preparation but little familiarity with peer reports and other materials Fair ability in interpreting opinions 	 Unmotivated to participate in class discussion or comment on other people's views Little pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials Poor ability in interpreting opinions 	 Unwilling to participate in class discussion and comment on other points, even when requested by the teacher No pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials Minimal ability in interpreting opinions

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
2. Project	Students should demonstrate ability to apply knowledge and skills to undertake independent research, build up argument and analysis. The threshold of 'discovery' lies in a student's proactively turning theory into praxis, to transform course material into self-owned authorship.	 Excellent grasp of materials, ability to explain key concepts, assumptions, and debates, demonstrating sound knowledge of the field Rich content, exceptional ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels based on demand; Design and conduct research which is firmly built on thorough knowledge of existing theoretical frameworks Evaluative judgments about existing research and demonstrate application of 	 Firm grasp of materials, ability to explain key concepts and assumptions Adequate content, strong ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels based on demand Design and conduct research which is built on thorough knowledge of existing theoretical frameworks Appropriate judgments about existing research and demonstrate application of critical thinking skills Ability to approach a text or a theme 	 Comprehensive grasp of materials, able to explain key concepts Adequate content, fair ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels based on demand Design and conduct research which is built on knowledge of theoretical frameworks Appropriate judgments about existing research Weak ability to approach a text or a theme using a variety of theories and analytical tools 	 Loose grasp of materials, cannot explain key concepts Weak content, with primary and secondary levels Design and conduct research which is appropriate for the research objective Marginal judgments about existing research Poor ability to approach a text or a theme using a variety of theories and analytical tools 	 Poor grasp of materials Inadequate content, without primary and secondary levels Fail to design and conduct research which is appropriate for the research objective Fail to make reasonable judgments about existing research Fail to approach a text or a theme using a variety of theories and analytical tools

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
		strong critical	using a variety			
		thinking skills	of theories and			
		 Strong ability to 	analytical tools			
		approach a text				
		or a theme				
		using a variety				
		of theories and				
		analytical tools				
		- Strong				
		organization of				
		research				
		findings with				
		effective				
		organization				
		and procedural				
		clarity at the				
		same time				
		demonstrating				
		the importance of the process				
		Insightful suggestion of				
		how the				
		research				
		findings may				
		lead to future				
		research				
3. Presentation	This assessment will	- Rich,	Adequate	 Adequate content 	- Weak content,	 Inadequate content,
	grade on content and	informative	content with	with	loose grasp of the	fail to identify the
	fluency of	content,	firm grasp of	comprehensive	general ideas with	general ideas with
	presentation. Students	excellent grasp	the material	grasp of the	some knowledge of	knowledge of the
	should show their	of the material	that informs the	material	the subject matter	subject matter
	co-operation to	with in-depth	audience on a	demonstrating	 Poor organization, 	 No organization,
	conduct a	and extensive	subject matter	basic knowledge	structure and	structure or/and
	well-organized	knowledge of	 Reasonable 	of the subject	composition	composition
	presentation with	the subject	organization,	matter	 Poor presentation 	 Poor presentation

and rea	eir own argument and evidence from adings and notes.	(A+, A, A-) matter – Rigorous	(B+, B, B-) balanced	(C+, C, C-)	Marginal (D)	(F)
and rea	d evidence from		balanced			
studinit added and the per	ne threshold of iscovery' lied in a udent's self itiatives to conduct ditional research ad to personalize eories for her/his ersonal daily eperience.	organization, coherent structure, and systematic exposition with a strong sense of narrative Superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time-manageme nt Critical analysis with insightful comments opening up new issues, or suggesting the ability to theorize	structure and composition Good verbal communication: comprehensible pronunciation, fluent expression and diction, fair time-management	 Fair organization, weak structure and composition Fair presentation skills: acceptable pronunciation, expression and diction, fair time-managemen t 	skills: marginal pronunciation, expression and diction, poor time-management	skills: marginal pronunciation, expression and diction, minimal time-management

Other specific rubrics may be included depending on the nature of assessment tasks assigned by the course leader. Normally, material to be assessed may include analytical essays, historical and theoretical research projects, creative/practical projects, oral presentations, and participation in online and in-class discussions.

Note: All A+/A/A- grade assignment should comply with the highest performance of Discovery-oriented learning.

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

To be determined on case-by-case basis.

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1. To be determined on case-by-case basis.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

1. To be determined on case-by-case basis.