# City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

# offered by School of Creative Media with effect from Semester A 2017/18

Part I Course Overvio	ew
Course Title:	Art and Activist Games Workshop
Course Code:	SM5339
Course Duration:	1 semester
Credit Units:	3 credits
Level:	P5
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
Prerequisites: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Precursors: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
<b>Equivalent Courses</b> : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Exclusive Courses: (Course Code and Title)	Nil

#### **Part II Course Details**

#### 1. Abstract

This workshop-based course explores video games as a site for art practice and social critique. Through the analysis of existing artefacts and the design and implementation of games the course aims to situate video games within contemporary art and explore their potential as a space for intervention in political, social, and cultural dynamics. Rather than focusing exclusively on technical issues, the course examines the symbolic and aesthetic dimensions of the genre as a means for cultural production.

#### 2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting (if		ılum re	lated
		applicable)	learnin	ig outco e tick w	
			approp		nere
			<i>A1</i>	A2	<i>A3</i>
1.	Describe the essential features of games		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
2.	Distinguish art games from other games		✓	<b>√</b>	
3.	Describe the role that art games play in contemporary culture		<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	
4.	Use concepts of art and game theory to describe and evaluate the salient aspects of an art game		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	
5.^	Use concepts of art, and game theory, and game design to design and develop their own art game			<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
6.^	Use concepts of art, game design, and software engineering to implement a working prototype of their game			<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
		100%			

#### A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

#### A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

#### A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

^ Negotiated Learning Outcome (NLO) explicitly articulating the elements of Discovery oriented learning.

### **3.**

**Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs)** (TLAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

TLA	Brief Description	CILC	CILO No.			Hours/week		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	(if applicable)
Lectures	Explain key concepts, such as 'playability'	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓			
Critiques	Evaluate/critique aspects of classmates' art/activist games in progress				<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Presentations	Explicate the salient aspects of 'successful' art/activist games by top practitioners				<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	✓	
Projects	Create a game artefact, engaging in all steps, from design, to implementation, to user testing.					<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	

# 4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment	CILO	CILO No.					Weighting	Remarks
Tasks/Activities	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Continuous Assessment: 10	0%							
Participation	✓	✓	✓	<b>√</b>	✓		15%	
Assignments	$\checkmark$	<b>\</b>	✓	<b>\</b>	<b>√</b>	✓	30%	
Presentations				<b>\</b>	<b>√</b>	✓	20%	
Final project					./	./	35%	
					<b>V</b>	V		
Examination: 0% (duration:, if applicable)								

100%

# **5.** Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
1. Participation and Performance	This assessment task reviews students' participation and performance in discussions, debates and peer critique during the tutorial sessions. The evidence of 'negotiation', the sign of discovery, lies in students' pre-class preparation and interpersonal sensitivity to his/her peer members.	<ul> <li>Active in-class participation, positive listening, strong ability to stimulate class discussion and comment on other points</li> <li>In-depth pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials</li> <li>Interpret others' views with an open mind and ready to negotiate</li> <li>Readiness to share personal insight via analysis and synthesis with informed views</li> <li>Constructively critical, thus facilitating the discovery of new issues</li> </ul>	- Active in-class participation, positive listening, ability to initiate class discussion and comment on other points - Adequate preclass preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials - Interpret opinions effectively	- Attentive in inclass participation, listening with comprehension, but only infrequently contributing - Adequate preclass preparation but little familiarity with peer reports and other materials - Fair ability in interpreting opinions	<ul> <li>Unmotivated to participate in class discussion or comment on other people's views</li> <li>Little pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials</li> <li>Poor ability in interpreting opinions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Unwilling to participate in class discussion and comment on other points, even when requested by the teacher</li> <li>No pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials</li> <li>Minimal ability in interpreting opinions</li> </ul>
2. Assignments	Students should demonstrate ability to utilize primary and secondary sources, build up argument and analysis. The threshold of	<ul> <li>Excellent grasp of research material, able to explain key concepts, assumptions and debates</li> <li>Rigorous organization,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Firm grasp of materials, able to explain key concepts and assumptions</li> <li>Reasonable organization, balanced</li> </ul>	- Comprehensive grasp of materials, able to explain key concepts - Fair organization, weak structure,	<ul> <li>Loose grasp of materials, cannot explain key concepts</li> <li>Poor organization and structure, weak content, limited use of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Poor grasp of materials</li> <li>No organization and structure, inadequate content, no/</li> <li>irrelevant use of resources</li> </ul>

	'discovery' lied in a	coherent structure,	structure,	adequate content,	racourage	- Irrelevant points
	student's self	distinct thesis,	adequate	fair ability to	resources - Relevant points	to the subject
	initiatives to	· ·	-			matter, minimal
	conduct additional	properly argued with	content, sufficient	integrate various resources based	to the subject	ability to interpret
		strong narrative			matter, marginal	
	research and to	- Insightful	ability to	on demand	ability to	opinions
	personalize theories	interpretation of the	integrate	- Relevant points	interpret opinions	- Irrelevant
	for her/his personal	subject matter with	various	to the subject	- Insufficient	bibliography
	daily experience.	distinct themes and	resources based	matter, fair	and/or	
		thesis	on demand	ability to	unorganized	
		- Critical analysis with	- Clear ideas	interpret opinions	bibliography	
		insightful comments	which keep to	- Unorganized		
		opening up new	the point, clear-	bibliography		
		issues, or suggesting	cut subject,	which can be		
		the ability to theorize	ability to	utilized in		
		- Ability to approach a	interpret	accordance with		
		text or a theme using	opinions	the topic		
		a variety of	independently			
		- theories and	- Organized			
		analytical tools	bibliography			
		- Strong bibliography	which can be			
		suggesting breadth	utilized in			
		and depth of coverage	accordance			
		and informed insights	with the topic			
3. Presentation	This assessment	- Rich, informative	- Adequate	- Adequate content	- Weak content,	- Inadequate
	will grade on	content, excellent	content with	with	loose grasp of the	content, fail to
	content and fluency	grasp of the material	firm grasp of	comprehensive	general ideas	identify the
	of presentation.	with in-depth and	the material	grasp of the	with some	general ideas with
	Students should	extensive knowledge	informs the	material	knowledge of the	knowledge of the
	show their co-	of the subject matter	audience on a	demonstrating	subject matter	subject matter
	operation to	- Rigorous	subject matter	basic knowledge	- Poor	- No organization,
	conduct a well-	organization,	- Reasonable	of the subject	organization,	structure or/and
	organized	coherent structure,	organization,	matter	structure and	composition
	presentation with	and systematic	balanced	- Fair	composition	- Poor presentation
	their own argument	exposition with a	structure and	organization,	- Poor presentation	skills: marginal
	and evidence from	strong sense of	composition	weak structure	skills: marginal	pronunciation,
	readings and notes.	narrative	- Good verbal	and composition	pronunciation,	expression and
	The threshold of	- Superior presentation	communication,	- Fair presentation	expression and	diction, minimal
	'discovery' lied in a	skills: distinct	comprehensible	skills: acceptable	diction, poor	time-management

student's self initiatives to conduct additional research and to personalize theories for her/his personal daily experience.	pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time management - Critical analysis with insightful comments opening up new issues, or suggesting the ability to theorize	pronunciation, fluent expression and diction, fair time- management	pronunciation, - expression and diction, fair time- management	time- management	
4. Final Project  Students should demonstrate ability to utilize primary and secondary sources, execute creative ideas and projects. The threshold of 'discovery' lies in a student's proactively turning theory into praxis, to transform course material into self-owned authorship.	<ul> <li>Work has strong affective quality and the articulation of personal styles and signature</li> <li>Excellent appreciation, exploration and/or application of the aesthetic and expressive qualities of the medium</li> <li>Work raises questions and instill insights about the process of conception, creative strategization and production</li> <li>Innovative exploration by combining knowledge from different disciplines (e.g. mathematics, psychology, physics, anthropology, etc.) to create an interdisciplinary project</li> </ul>	- Strong appreciation, exploration and/or application of the aesthetic and expressive qualities of the medium - Ability to create project/ work that demonstrate the processes of thinking and creative exploration - Proper adjustment of plans and strategies in response to resources (time, space, equipment, etc) available and constructive feedback/	- Basic appreciation and/or application of the aesthetic and expressive qualities of the medium - Limited ability to create project/ work that demonstrate the processes of thinking and creative exploration - Adjustment of plans and strategies in response to resources (time, space, equipment, etc) available	- Marginal appreciation of the aesthetic and expressive qualities of the medium - Marginal ability to create project/ work that demonstrate the processes of thinking and creative exploration - Limited adjustment of plans and strategies in response to resources (time, space, equipment, etc) available	<ul> <li>No appreciation of the aesthetics and expressive qualities of the medium</li> <li>Fail to create project/ work that demonstrate the processes of thinking and creative exploration</li> <li>Minimal adjustment of plans and strategies in response to resources (time, space, equipment, etc) available</li> </ul>

- Efficient adjustment	suggestions		
of plans and			
strategies in response			
to resources (time,			
space, equipment,			
etc) available with			
constructive			
adjustment			

Note: All A+/A/A- grade assignment should comply with the highest performance of Discovery-oriented learning.

### Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

### 1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Games, Game design, Game theory, Art, Activism, Culture, Programming

## 2. Reading List

### 2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1.	Ruth Catlow, Marc Garrett and Corrado Morgana. (2010). Artists re: thinking games. Liverpool
	University Press.
2.	Ian Bogost . (2010). Persuasive Games: The Expressive Power of Videogames. MIT Press.
3.	Ian Bogost ,Simon Ferrari, Bobby Schweizer. (2010).Newsgames: Journalism at Play. MIT Press.
4.	Noah Wardrip-Fruin, Pat Harrigan, Michael Crumpton. (2006). First Person: New Media as Story,
	Performance, and Game. MIT Press.
5.	Jesse Schell. (2008). The Art of Game Design: A book of lenses. CRC Press.
6.	Mary Flanagan. (2013). Critical Play: Radical Game Design. MIT Press.

#### 2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

NA