## City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

# offered by School of Creative Media with effect from Semester A 2017 /18

### Part I Course Overview

Course Title:	New Media Art Theory and History
Course Code:	<u>SM3160</u>
Course Duration:	One semester
Credit Units:	3
Level:	B3
<b>Proposed Area:</b> (for GE courses only)	Study of Societies, Social and Business Organisations Science and Technology
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
<b>Prerequisites</b> : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
<b>Precursors</b> : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
<b>Equivalent Courses</b> : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
<b>Exclusive Courses</b> : (Course Code and Title)	Nil

### Part II Course Details

### 1. Abstract

(A 150-word description about the course)

This course examines the theory and history of new media art. In particular, it will inquire into the use of computational and networked media as forms of artistic expression. The core focus of the course is: (a) to present the conceptual fundamentals of computation/information; (b) to motivate students to reflect on the main features of computation as an artistic medium; and (c) to appreciate the importance of learning about the history of ideas and practices in the field of new media art.

This course will consider the development of computational and networked media art from its early experiments to the present day. It will give students a broad overview of digital art. A historical study of the foundations of new media art is important, because many artists and designers use terms like "digitality", "information", or "computation", without fully understanding their meaning. The writings of early pioneers in the field of computer art are particularly lucid and clear in addressing these conceptual foundations. Moreover, many visionary and potentially fruitful ideas proposed by the early pioneers have not yet been implemented, particularly those concerning the potential of computation as an artistic medium. Their ideas and experiments show a strong willingness to experiment with the possibilities and limitations of new media. The cybernetics movement, for instance, remains an important example of interdisciplinary research that extends the idea of computation into biology, anthropology, sociology, psychology, and other areas.

Instructors may also choose to focus on a specific topic, such as for instance net art, database art, computational cinema, natural language processing, text generation, Artificial Intelligence, artificial life, bioart, robotic art, art/science collaborations, Virtual Reality, etc.

While the main emphasis of this course is on theories of new media, students will learn through hands-on practice, not only reading and writing essays but also producing experimental art works that address core issues in the history of media art.

### 2. **Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)**

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs <sup>#</sup>	Weighting*	Discov	very-en	riched
		(if	curricu	ılum re	lated
		applicable)	learnin	ig outco	omes
			(please	e tick	where
			approp	riate)	r
			Al	A2	A3
1.	Describe in detail the meaning of computation, its		Х	X	
	historical development, and the philosophical debates that				
	have developed around it.				
2.	Theorize the main characteristics of computational and		Х	X	Х
	networked media art as a distinct paradigm.				
3.	Describe theoretical work that applies computation across		Х	Х	
	different disciplines.				
4.	Conduct independent research about the history of media		Х	Х	
	art.				
5.	Produce digital artworks in response to core issues		Х	Х	Х
	encountered during the historical research.				
6.^	Associate, combine and integrate multiple sources of		Х		
	information to evaluate the outcomes of research			X	X
* If w	eighting is assigned to CILOs they should add up to 100%	100%		1	1

\* If weighting is assigned to CILOs, they should add up to 100%. 

<sup>#</sup> Please specify the alignment of CILOs to the Gateway Education Programme Intended Learning outcomes (PILOs) in Section A of Annex.

^ Negotiated Learning Outcome (NLO) explicitly articulating the elements of Discovery oriented learning.

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

### A3: **Accomplishments**

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

**3.** Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs) (TLAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

TLA	Brief Description	CILO No.						Hours/week (if
	Ĩ	1	2	3	4	5	6	applicable)
Class	Class presentations describing and	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
presentation	analyzing key works in the history							
	of digital media art							
Discussion	Brainstorming sessions,	~	~		~			
sessions	discussions, and presentations							
	where students reflect on the							
	question of whether and how							
	computation is an artistic							
	medium.							
Lectures and	Lectures and in-class debates	~		~	$\checkmark$			
debates	about the work of key historical							
	figures and projects in the							
	development of computational							
	and networked art							
workshops	Class workshops illustrating			$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		
	different techniques in							
	computational or algorithmic art							
Exhibition	Visit and discuss exhibitions of	$\checkmark$	~		<		$\checkmark$	
VISIUS	digital media art works							
Class	Class presentations of students'					$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
presentations	own ideas and ongoing media art							
	projects							

# 4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CILO No.						Weighting*	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Continuous Assessment: 100%	1	1		1	1	1	ſ	I
Creation of an artwork that	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
draws on computational and/or								
networked media.								
Production of a detailed	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
research report explaining the								
various steps/decisions/changes								
in the creation of the student's								
art work, and the influence of								
computational concepts on the								
process.								
In-class presentation and	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
critique of the student's								
ongoing work, with a strong								
emphasis on the programming								
techniques used to produce the								
work.								
Essay or in-class presentation	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		
describing whether computation								
can be considered a medium of								
expression and why (or why								
not), using historical examples.								
Examination: 0% (duration:		, if a	pplic	able)	)		ſ	I
* The weightings should add up t	o 100	)%.					100%	

## 5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
1. Artwork	Students should	- Work has strong	– Strong	– Basic	– Marginal	– No appreciation of
Creation	demonstrate ability to	affective quality	appreciation,	appreciation	appreciation of the	the aesthetics and
	utilize primary and	and the	exploration	and/or	aesthetic and	expressive
	secondary sources,	articulation of	and/or	application of	expressive	qualities of the
	execute creative	personal styles	application of	the aesthetic and	qualities of the	medium
	ideas and projects.	and signature	the aesthetic	expressive	medium	- Fail to create
	The threshold of	– Excellent	and expressive	qualities of the	– Marginal ability to	project/ work that
	'discovery' lies in a	appreciation,	qualities of the	medium	create project/	demonstrate the
	student's proactively	exploration	medium	<ul> <li>Limited ability</li> </ul>	work that	processes of
	turning theory into	and/or	<ul> <li>Ability to</li> </ul>	to create project/	demonstrate the	thinking and
	praxis, to transform	application of	create project/	work that	processes of	creative
	course material into	the aesthetic and	work that	demonstrate the	thinking and	exploration
	self-owned	expressive	demonstrate	processes of	creative	– Minimal
	authorship	qualities of the	the processes	thinking and	exploration	adjustment of
	autionship.	medium	of thinking and	creative	– Limited	plans and
		<ul> <li>Work raises</li> </ul>	creative	exploration	adjustment of	strategies in
		questions and	exploration	- Adjustment of	plans and	response to
		instill insights	– Proper	plans and	strategies in	resources (time,
		about the	adjustment of	strategies in	response to	space, equipment,
		process of	plans and	response to	resources (time,	etc) available
		conception,	strategies in	resources (time,	space, equipment,	
		creative	response to	space,	etc) available	
		planning and	resources	equipment, etc)		

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
		production	(time, space,	available		
		– Innovative	equipment,			
		exploration by	etc) available			
		combining	and			
		knowledge from	constructive			
		different	feedback/			
		disciplines (e.g.	suggestions			
		mathematics,				
		psychology,				
		physics,				
		anthropology,				
		etc.) to create an				
		inter-disciplinar				
		y project				
		– Efficient				
		adjustment of				
		plans and				
		strategies in				
		response to				
		resources (time,				
		space,				
		equipment, etc)				
		available with				
		constructive				
		adjustment				

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
2. Research	Students should	<ul> <li>Excellent grasp</li> </ul>	– Firm grasp of	- Comprehensive	<ul> <li>Loose grasp of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Poor grasp of</li> </ul>
Report	demonstrate ability to	of materials,	materials,	grasp of	materials, cannot	materials
	apply knowledge and	ability to explain	ability to	materials and	explain key	– Inadequate
	skills to undertake	key concepts,	explain key	ability to	concepts	content, without
	independent research,	assumptions,	concepts and	explain key	- Weak content,	primary and
	build up argument	and debates,	assumptions	concepts	with primary and	secondary levels
	and analysis. The	demonstrating	– Adequate	– Adequate	secondary levels	- Fail to design and
	threshold of	sound	content, strong	content, fair	<ul> <li>Design and</li> </ul>	conduct research
	'discovery' lies in a	knowledge of	ability to	ability to	conduct research	which is
	student's proactively	the field	integrate	integrate various	which is	appropriate for the
	turning theory into	- Rich content,	various	resources into	appropriate for the	research objective
	praxis to transform	exceptional	resources into	primary and	research objective	– Fail to make
	course material into	ability to	primary and	secondary levels	– Marginal	reasonable
	solf owned	integrate various	secondary	based on	judgments about	judgments about
	sen-owned	resources into	levels based on	demand	existing research	existing research
	autnorsnip.	primary and	demand;	<ul> <li>Design and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Poor ability to</li> </ul>	- Fail to approach a
		secondary levels	<ul> <li>Design and</li> </ul>	conduct	approach a text or	text or a theme
		based on	conduct	research which	a theme using a	using a variety of
		demand;	research which	is built on	variety of theories	theories and
		<ul> <li>Design and</li> </ul>	is built on	knowledge of	and analytical	analytical tools
		conduct research	thorough	theoretical	tools	
		which is firmly	knowledge of	frameworks		
		built on	existing	– Appropriate		
		thorough	theoretical	judgments about		
		knowledge of	frameworks	existing		
		existing	– Appropriate	research		

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
		theoretical	judgments	<ul> <li>Weak ability to</li> </ul>		
		frameworks	about existing	approach a text		
		– Evaluative	research and	or a theme using		
		judgments about	demonstrate	a variety of		
		existing research	application of	theories and		
		and demonstrate	critical	analytical tools		
		application of	thinking skills			
		strong critical	<ul> <li>Ability to</li> </ul>			
		thinking skills	approach a text			
		– Strong ability to	or a theme			
		approach a text	using a variety			
		or a theme using	of theories and			
		a variety of	analytical tools			
		theories and				
		analytical tools,				
		or using a single				
		theory/methodol				
		ogy in depth.				
		– Strong				
		organization of				
		research				
		findings with				
		effective				
		organization and				
		procedural				
		clarity at the				

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
		same time				
		demonstrating				
		the importance				
		of the process				
		– Insightful				
		suggestion of				
		how the research				
		findings may				
		lead to future				
		research				
3. Essay	Students should	<ul> <li>Excellent grasp</li> </ul>	- Firm grasp of	- Comprehensive	<ul> <li>Loose grasp of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Poor grasp of</li> </ul>
	demonstrate ability to	of research	materials, able	grasp of	materials, cannot	materials
	utilize primary and	material, able to	to explain key	materials, able	explain key	<ul> <li>No organization</li> </ul>
	secondary sources,	explain key	concepts and	to explain key	concepts	and structure,
	build up argument	concepts,	assumptions	concepts	<ul> <li>Poor organization</li> </ul>	inadequate
	and analysis. The	assumptions and	– Reasonable	– Fair	and structure,	content, no/
	threshold of	debates	organization,	organization,	weak content,	irrelevant use of
	'discovery' lied in a	– Rigorous	balanced	weak structure,	limited use of	resources
	student's self	organization,	structure,	adequate	resources	<ul> <li>Irrelevant points</li> </ul>
	initiatives to conduct	coherent	adequate	content, fair	<ul> <li>Relevant points to</li> </ul>	to the subject
	additional research	structure,	content,	ability to	the subject matter,	matter, minimal
	and to personalize	distinct thesis,	sufficient	integrate various	marginal ability to	ability to interpret
	theories for her/his	properly argued	ability to	resources based	interpret opinions	opinions
	nersonal deily	with strong	integrate	on demand	<ul> <li>Insufficient and/or</li> </ul>	– Irrelevant
		narrative	various	<ul> <li>Relevant points</li> </ul>	unorganized	bibliography
	experience.	– Insightful	resources	to the subject	bibliography	

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
		interpretation of	based on	matter, fair		
		the subject	demand	ability to		
		matter with	- Clear ideas	interpret		
		distinct themes	which keep to	opinions		
		and thesis	the point,	– Unorganized		
		– Critical analysis	clear-cut	bibliography		
		with insightful	subject, ability	which can be		
		comments	to interpret	utilized in		
		opening up new	opinions	accordance with		
		issues, or	independently	the topic		
		suggesting the	- Organized			
		ability to	bibliography			
		theorize	which can be			
		– Ability to	utilized in			
		approach a text	accordance			
		or a theme using	with the topic			
		a variety of				
		theories and				
		analytical tools				
		– Strong				
		bibliography				
		suggesting				
		breadth and				
		depth of				
		coverage and				
		informed				

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
		insights				
4. In-Class Participation/ Presentation/ Critique	This assessment task reviews students' participation and performance in discussions, debates and peer critique during the tutorial	<ul> <li>Active in-class participation, positive listening, strong ability to stimulate class discussion and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Active in-class participation, positive listening, ability to initiate class discussion and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Attentive in in-class participation, listening with comprehension, but only infrequently</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Unmotivated to participate in class discussion or comment on other people's views</li> <li>Little pre-class preparation and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Unwilling to participate in class discussion and comment on other points, even when requested by the teacher</li> </ul>
	sessions. The evidence of 'negotiation', the sign of discovery, lies in students' pre-class preparation and interpersonal sensitivity to his/her peer members.	<ul> <li>comment on other points</li> <li>In-depth pre-class</li> <li>preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials</li> <li>Interpret others' views with an open mind and ready to negotiate</li> <li>Readiness to share personal insight via analysis and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>comment on other points</li> <li>Adequate pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials</li> <li>Interpret opinions effectively</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>contributing</li> <li>Adequate</li> <li>pre-class</li> <li>preparation but</li> <li>little familiarity</li> <li>with peer</li> <li>reports and</li> <li>other materials</li> <li>Fair ability in</li> <li>interpreting</li> <li>opinions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>familiarity with peer reports and other materials</li> <li>Poor ability in interpreting opinions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No pre-class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials</li> <li>Minimal ability in interpreting opinions</li> </ul>

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
		synthesis with				
		informed views				
		<ul> <li>Constructively</li> </ul>				
		critical, thus				
		facilitating the				
		discovery of				
		new issues				

Note: All A+/A/A- grade assignment should comply with the highest performance of Discovery-oriented learning.

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

### 1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Computational and Networked Media Art; Information Arts; Cyberculture;

### 2. Reading List

### 2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1	Berry, David. The Philosophy of Software: Code and Mediation in the Digital Age, (Basingstoke:
	Palgrave Macmillan, 2011).
2	Dolphijn, Rick and van der Tuin, Iris. New Materialism (London: Open Humanities Press,
	2012).
3.	Flusser, Vilem. Towards A Philosophy of Photography, trans. Anthony Mathews, London:
	Reaktion Books, 2000.
4.	Flusser, Vilem. "The Photograph as Post-Industrial Object: An Essay on the Ontological
	Standing of Photographs" Leonardo 19:4 (1986), pp 329-332.
5	Fuller, Matthew, Software Studies: A Lexicon. (London: MIT Pres, 2008).
6.	Galloway, Alexander R. Protocol: How Control Exists after Decentralization (Cambridge:
	MIT Press, 2004).
7	Hayles, N. K. (2004) 'Print Is Flat, Code Is Deep: The Importance of Media-Specific
	Analysis', Poetics Today, 25(1): 67–90.
8.	Latour, Bruno. Pandora's Hope: Essays on the Reality of Science Studies (Cambridge &
	London: Harvard University Press, 1999).
9	Selections from Stieger, Bernard. Technics and Time. 3 vols. (Stanford: Stanford
	University Press (1998-2010).
10.	Wardrip-Fruin, Noah. The New Media Reader (Cambridge & London: MIT Press, 2003).

### 2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

1.	Bogost, Ian. Unit Operations: An Approach to Videogame Criticism (Cambridge: MIT
	Press, 2006).
2.	Bolter, Jay David and Gromala, Diane. Windows and Mirrors: Interaction Design
	(Cambridge: MIT Press, 2005)
3.	Bolter, Jay David and Grusin, Richard. Remediation: Understanding New Media

	(Cambridge: MIT Press, 2000).
4.	Cavallaro, Alessio, Jonson, Annemarie, and Tofts, Darren. Prefiguring cyberculture: an
	intellectual history (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2002).
5.	Floridi, Luciano (ed). The Blackwell Guide to the Philosophy of Computing and
	Information (London: Blackwell, 2004).
7.	Kirschenbaum, Matthew G. Mechanisms: New Media and the Forensic Imagination
	(Cambridge: MIT Press, 2008).
8.	Kittler, Friedrich A. and Metteer, Michael. Discourse Networks, 1800 / 1900 (California:
	Stanford University Press, 1992).
10.	Manovich, Lev. The Language of New Media (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2002).
11	Montfort, Nick. and Bogost, Ian. Racing the Beam: The Atari Video Computer System (London: MIT
	Press, 2009).
12.	Ricardo, Francisco J. Literary Art in Digital Performance: Case Studies in New Media
	Art and Criticism (Paperback) (London, New York: Continuum, 2009).
13	Selections from Stieger, Bernard. Technics and Time. 3 vols. (Stanford: Stanford
	University Press (1998-2010).
14.	Wardrip-Fruin, Noah. Expressive Processing: Digital Fictions, Computer Games, and
	Software Studies (Paperback) (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2009).