

**City University of Hong Kong
Course Syllabus**

**offered by School of Energy and Environment
with effect from Semester A 2024/25**

Part I Course Overview

Course Title: Environmental Assessment

Course Code: SEE6225

Course Duration: One semester

Credit Units: 3 credits

Level: P6

Medium of Instruction: English

Medium of Assessment: English

Prerequisites:
(Course Code and Title) Nil

Precursors:
(Course Code and Title) Nil

Equivalent Courses:
(Course Code and Title) SEE8225 Environmental Assessment

Exclusive Courses:
(Course Code and Title) Nil

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

This course enables students to develop competency in both designing and executing scientific studies analyzing temporal and spatial, as well as economic, human, and social dimensions of energy and environmental issues. It trains students how to conceptualize and operationalize key concepts in formulating research questions. It also help students build a toolkit comprised of both qualitative and quantitative methods needed for data collection and analysis. This course serves as a foundation for developing the ability of doctoral students to work methodologically as independent scholars using relatively advanced designs and techniques in their work.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting (if applicable)	Discovery-enriched curriculum related learning outcomes (please tick where appropriate)		
			A1	A2	A3
1.	Formulate and operationalize research questions relevant for energy and the environment, and locate relevant literature on the research topics and critically evaluate existing studies	30%	✓	✓	
2.	Understand and assess the trade-offs between alternative research design and analytic techniques	30%	✓	✓	
3.	Execute a small scale research project, selecting and deploying one or more methods for collecting and analyzing data.	40%	✓	✓	✓
		100%			

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to real-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3. Learning and Teaching Activities (LTAs)

(LTAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

LTA	Brief Description	CILO No.			Hours/week (if applicable)
		1	2	3	
Seminars	To deliver knowledge on theories and techniques on both quantitative and qualitative research methods for data collection and analysis	✓	✓		
Class	An opportunity for students to	✓	✓	✓	

discussion and debate	clarify and evaluate research questions, key concepts and operationalization through exchange and interaction with others; an exercise for students to listen to and appreciate alternative views and arguments.				
Consultation	Individual consultation and inquiry together with teachers.	✓	✓	✓	
Quizzes	To evaluate the learning progress of students on the conceptualization and operationalization of research questions and knowledge of techniques for data collection and analysis.	✓	✓		
Written report	To document the processes of conducting the research and to communicate the findings.	✓	✓	✓	

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CILO No.			Weighting	Remarks
	1	2	3		
Continuous Assessment: 100%					
Class participation and discussion	✓	✓		20%	
Quizzes	✓		✓	40%	
Project report			✓	40%	
Examination: 0% (duration: , if applicable)				100%	

To pass a course, a student must do ALL of the following:

- 1) obtain at least 30% of the total marks allocated towards coursework (combination of assignments, pop quizzes, term paper, lab reports and/ or quiz, if applicable);
- 2) obtain at least 30% of the total marks allocated towards final examination (if applicable); and
- 3) meet the criteria listed in the section on Assessment Rubrics.

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Applicable to students admitted before Semester A 2022/23 and in Semester A 2024/25 & thereafter

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B, B-)	Fair (C+, C, C-)	Marginal (D)	Failure (F)
1. Class participation	Willingness to contribute to class discussions by asking questions, making statements, debating and explaining issues related to social research methods.	Always contributes to class discussions.	Often contributes to class discussions	Occasionally contributes to class discussions.	Rarely contributes to class discussions.	Never contributes to class discussions.
2. Quizzes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> knowledge of key theories, methods and practices entailed in the formulation and execution of a scientific research project. . ability to discuss relative strengths and limitations of different methods. 	An excellent standard of knowledge of key theories, methods and practices entailed in the formulation and execution of scientific research and a highly developed ability to discuss relative strengths and limitations of different methods.	A generally good standard of knowledge of key theories, methods and practices entailed in the formulation and execution of scientific research and a sound ability to discuss relative strengths and limitations of different methods.	Rudimentary standard of knowledge of key theories, methods and practices entailed in the formulation and execution of scientific research and a basic ability to discuss relative strengths and limitations of different methods.	Poor knowledge of key theories, methods and practices entailed in the formulation and execution of scientific research and a very little ability to discuss relative strengths and limitations of different methods.	Almost no knowledge or understanding of key theories, methods and practices entailed in the formulation and execution of scientific research. No discernible ability to discuss relative strengths and limitations of different methods.
3. Research project	Ability to articulate a clear research question, review relevant research, choose appropriate methods, analyse and discuss data in a clear and succinct manner.	Excellent ability to articulate a clear research question, review relevant research, choose appropriate methods, analyse and discuss data in a clear and succinct manner.	Good ability to articulate a clear research question, review relevant research, choose appropriate methods, analyses and discuss data in a clear and succinct manner.	Basic ability to articulate a clear research question, review relevant research, choose appropriate methods, analyse and discuss data in a clear and succinct manner.	Poor ability to articulate a clear research question, review relevant research, choose appropriate methods, analyse and discuss data in a clear and succinct manner.	Inability to articulate a clear research question, review relevant research, choose appropriate methods, analyse and discuss data in a clear and succinct manner.

Applicable to students admitted from Semester A 2022/23 to Summer Term 2024

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Failure
-----------------	-----------	-----------	------	----------	---------

		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B)	(B-, C+, C)	(F)
1. Class participation	Willingness to contribute to class discussions by asking questions, making statements, debating and explaining issues related to social research methods.	Always contributes to class discussions.	Often contributes to class discussions	Rarely contributes to class discussions.	Never contributes to class discussions.
2. Quizzes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • knowledge of key theories, methods and practices entailed in the formulation and execution of a scientific research project. . • ability to discuss relative strengths and limitations of different methods. 	An excellent standard of knowledge of key theories, methods and practices entailed in the formulation and execution of scientific research and a highly developed ability to discuss relative strengths and limitations of different methods.	A generally good standard of knowledge of key theories, methods and practices entailed in the formulation and execution of scientific research and a sound ability to discuss relative strengths and limitations of different methods.	Poor knowledge of key theories, methods and practices entailed in the formulation and execution of scientific research and a very little ability to discuss relative strengths and limitations of different methods.	Almost no knowledge or understanding of key theories, methods and practices entailed in the formulation and execution of scientific research. No discernible ability to discuss relative strengths and limitations of different methods.
3. Research project	Ability to articulate a clear research question, review relevant research, choose appropriate methods, analyse and discuss data in a clear and succinct manner.	Excellent ability to articulate a clear research question, review relevant research, choose appropriate methods, analyse and discuss data in a clear and succinct manner.	Good ability to articulate a clear research question, review relevant research, choose appropriate methods, analyses and discuss data in a clear and succinct manner.	Poor ability to articulate a clear research question, review relevant research, choose appropriate methods, analyse and discuss data in a clear and succinct manner.	Inability to articulate a clear research question, review relevant research, choose appropriate methods, analyse and discuss data in a clear and succinct manner.

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Qualitative methods analysis, field interviews, case studies, survey research, theory development, hypothesis testing, factor analysis, comparison of means, statistical inference, variables, measurements, mobile methods, ethics of social research.

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1.	Babbie, Earl R. 2010. <i>The practice of social research</i> . 12th ed. Belmont, CA: Thomson Wadsworth.
2.	Breen, Richard, Kristian Bernt Karlson, and Anders Holm. 2013. "Total, direct, and indirect effects in logit and probit models." <i>Sociological Methods & Research</i> no. 42 (2):164-191. doi: 10.1177/0049124113494572.
3.	Clifton, Allan, and Gregory D. Webster. 2017. "An introduction to social network analysis for personality and social psychologists." <i>Social Psychological and Personality Science</i> no. 8 (4):442-453. doi: 10.1177/1948550617709114.
4.	Corbin, Juliet M., and Anselm Strauss. 1990. "Grounded theory research: Procedures, canons, and evaluative criteria." <i>Qualitative Sociology</i> no. 13 (1):3-21. doi: 10.1007/bf00988593.
5.	Marshall, Catherine, and Gretchen B. Rossman. 2016. <i>Designing qualitative research</i> . 6th ed. Los Angeles, California: SAGE.
6.	Pearce, Warren, and Sujatha Raman. 2014. "The new randomised controlled trials (RCT) movement in public policy: challenges of epistemic governance." <i>Policy Sciences</i> no. 47 (4):387-402. doi: 10.1007/s11077-014-9208-3.
7.	Rosenberg, Steven A., Batya Elbaum, Cordelia Robinson Rosenberg, Yvonne Kellar-Guenther, and Beth M. McManus. 2017. "From flawed design to misleading information: The U.S. Department of Education's early intervention child outcomes evaluation." <i>American Journal of Evaluation</i> no. 39 (3):350-363. doi: 10.1177/1098214017732410. (optional)
8.	Servick, Kelly. 2018. "Social science studies get a 'generous' test." <i>Science</i> no. 361 (6405):836-836. doi: 10.1126/science.361.6405.836.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

1.	Collier, David. 2011. "Understanding process tracing." <i>PS: Political Science and Politics</i> no. 44 (4):823-830.
2.	Kim, Jeong-Hee. 2016. "Chapter 6 : Narrative data analysis and interpretation." In <i>Understanding narrative inquiry : the crafting and analysis of stories as research</i> , 185-224. Los Angeles: SAGE.
3.	Levitt, Steven D., and Stephen J. Dubner. 2009. <i>Freakonomics : a rogue economist explores the hidden side of everything</i> . New York: Harper Perennial. ———. 2014. <i>Think like a freak : the authors of Freakonomics offer to retrain your brain</i> . First edition. ed. New York, NY: William Morrow, an imprint of HarperCollinsPublishers.
4.	Li, Wanxin. 2011. "Self-motivated versus forced disclosure of environmental information in China: A comparative case study of the pilot disclosure programmes." <i>The China Quarterly</i> no. 206:331-351. doi: 10.1017/S0305741011000294.
5.	Li, Wanxin, Jieyan Liu, and Duoduo Li. 2012. "Getting their voices heard: Three cases of public participation in environmental protection in China." <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> no. 98:65-72. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.12.019.

6.	Li, Wanxin. 2016. "Failure by design - national mandates and agent control of local land use in China." <i>Land Use Policy</i> (52):518-526. doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2014.12.010.
7.	<p>Ospina, Sonia M., and Jennifer Dodge. 2005a. "It's about time: Catching method up to meaning- -The usefulness of narrative inquiry in public administration research." <i>Public Administration Review</i> no. 65 (2):143-157.</p> <p>———. 2005b. "Narrative inquiry and the search for connectedness: Practitioners and academics developing public administration scholarship." <i>Public Administration Review</i> no. 65 (4):409-423.</p>