City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

offered by the Department of English with effect from Semester A in 2024 / 25

Part I Course Overv	view
Course Title:	Dissertation
Course Code:	EN6941
Course Duration:	The normal duration is 1 semester (Semester B only). This is a dissertation-type course with supervision only.
Credit Units:	6
Level:	<u>P6</u>
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
Prerequisites: (Course Code and Title)	EN6471 Research Methods in English Studies ¹ and/or EN6508 Critical Approaches to Literature ² ³
Precursors: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Equivalent Courses: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Exclusive Courses: (Course Code and Title)	EN6943 Capstone Project

1

¹ For students planning to study a TESL/Applied Linguistics topic. See also requirements about choice of research topics in Part 2: Paragraph 4 Assessment Tasks and Activities.

² For students planning to study on a literary research topic. See also requirements about choice of research topics

³ Students need to have gained at least 12 credit units in the programme before being allowed to enrol in the course. Department approval is required to take the course.

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

This course aims to develop academic skills and expertise to carry out independent research in a chosen area of language studies through the application of theory and techniques provided in the programme.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting (if applicable)	curricu learnir	very-englum reng outcome tick priate)	lated omes
			Al	A2	A3
1.	design, conduct, manage and report (on) a substantial piece of individual research and development work	25%	\	√	✓
2.	search, select and critically evaluate literature and other materials relevant to the chosen area	25%	√	√	√
3.	apply suitable research methods and sound scholarly principles to investigate the chosen topic	25%	√	√	√
4.	communicate the results of the research effectively in a logical, precise and coherent manner in the form of a dissertation	25%	√	√	√
	'	100%		I.	

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to real-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3. Learning and Teaching Activities (LTAs)

(LTAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

LTA	Brief Description		LO	No.		Hours/week (if applicable)
		1	2	3	4	
Consultation	Students will meet a designated supervisor for individual consultation. Students, through independent study, will write the literature review, collects & analyses data, and then write up the dissertation.	✓	√	✓	√	

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CIL	CILO No.			Weighting	Remarks	
	1	2	3	4			
Continuous Assessment: 100	%						
A dissertation reporting an independent research project (10,000-15,000 words, excluding references and appendices).	√	√	√	√		100%	
						100%	

The following requirements apply to the choice of research topic:

- a) Students are required to pursue a stream-specific research topic (e.g., a literature topic for students of the LLC stream or a TESL or a discourse analysis topic for the TESL stream).
 Students on the General Track are allowed to work on a literature or a TESL or an applied linguistics topic.
- b) Students need to have completed a relevant prerequisite before their research topics are approved.

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Applicable to students admitted before Semester A 2022/23 and in Semester A 2024/25 & thereafter

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
	(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
Quality of the	An excellent piece of	A good piece of research,	An adequate piece of	A barely adequate piece	An inadequate piece of
research	original research, with (a)	with (b) a critical with the	research with (a) a fair	of research, in which	research, in which there
	an in-depth and critical	literature on the topic, (b)	engagement of the	there is evidence of an	may be evidence of an
	engagement with the	clear fit between	literature on the topic, (b)	attempt to investigate a	attempt to address a
	literature on the topic, (b)	literature review, research	a reasonable fit between	problem.	problem.
	a clear fit between	questions, methodology,	literature review, research		
	literature review, research	and the research	questions, methodology,	The work displays a poor	The work displays no
	questions, methodology,	outcomes, and (c) clearly	and the research	engagement with the	engagement with the
	and the research outcomes,	identified findings, which	outcomes (although some	literature on the topic and	literature and serious
	and (c) a clearly identified	make a contribution to	flaws may be evident),	is flawed in the	flaws in research design
	and potentially significant	knowledge.	and (c) clearly identified	research design or	and/or methodologies.
	contribution to knowledge		findings which make a	application of research	
	in its topic area.	Shows a good understanding of	limited contribution to	procedures. The research	The findings or
		theoretical and	knowledge.	outcomes or conclusions	conclusions, if present,
	Shows a sophisticated understanding of	methodological frameworks and their		are reasonable.	do not relate well to the
	theoretical and	application in research.	Shows a basic understanding of		writer's own research.
	methodological frameworks and their		theoretical and	Shows limited or minimal understanding of	
	application in research.		methodological frameworks and their	theoretical and	Shows little or no
			application in research.	methodological frameworks and their	understanding of
				application in research.	theoretical and
					methodological
					frameworks and their

					application in research
Ethical review of research	Applicable (if the project in approved	nvolved human participants a	nd sensitive data) &	Applicable but not approve	d or no approval sought
Organisation of the dissertation	Has a clear organizational plan appropriate to a report of an original piece of research. Includes a thorough, focused and concise review of relevant literature; clearly stated and answerable research questions; and detailed but concise statement of methodology; logically presented research outcomes in a format appropriate to the research methodology; and a discussion and/or conclusion drawing out the significance of the research. All of these sections should be present in an A range dissertation. A list of references is included, which covers the most relevant titles to the research, and the APA (or similar) referencing and citation format is followed with care.	Has a clear organizational plan appropriate to a report of an original piece of research. Includes all the sections specified for an A range dissertation, but there are weaknesses in one or two of the sections. In contrast to a C range dissertation, the research questions, methodology and research outcomes are reported logically and in detail. A list of references is included, which covers the most relevant titles to the research. APA (or similar) referencing and citation format is followed with care, although occasional inconsistencies and errors are present.	Has a clear organizational plan appropriate to a report of an original piece of research. Includes all the sections specified for an A/B range dissertation, but there are weaknesses in most or all of the sections. In particular, the organization of the research questions, methodology and research outcomes is such that the dissertation does not give a clear picture of how the research was conducted or how its findings were arrived at. A list of references is included, which may be brief, off-topic or contain significant omissions, or inconsistencies between in-text references and reference list. An attempt is made to	Has a recognizable dissertation structure, but the overall organization plan and/or its implementation is not appropriate to a report of an original piece of research. Includes some but not all of the sections specified for an A-C range dissertation; or one or more of the sections is present but fails to achieve its purpose (e.g., the literature review does not review relevant literature, the methodology does not describe a research methodology). The list of references is inadequate for the purposes of the dissertation in that significant sources are missing and/or misreferenced. An attempt is made to apply APA (or similar) referencing	Lacks a recognizable dissertation structure, appropriate to a report of an original piece of research. May include some or all of the sections specified for an A-C range dissertation, but most fail to achieve their purpose. May be significantly below the recommended word limit. The list of references is inadequate for the purposes of the dissertation. APA (or similar) referencing and citation format are applied inconsistently or not at all.

		1	1		1
			apply APA (or similar)	and citation format,	
			referencing and citation	but there are frequent	
			format, but there are	inconsistencies and	
			some inconsistencies and	errors.	
			errors.		
Language and	A high standard of written	A good standard of written	A reasonable standard of	A low standard of	The grammar, word
style	English, although	English, although some	written English, although	written English.	choice, use of academic
	occasional errors in	errors in grammar or word	frequent errors in	Frequent errors in	writing convention,
	grammar or word choice	choice are in evidence but	grammar or word choice	grammar or word choice	technical terms, modality
	may occur but do not	do not interfere with	are evident. Errors	make parts of the	and tense make the
	interfere with	understanding.	sometimes affect the	dissertation difficult to	dissertation largely
	understanding.		intelligibility at some	understand.	unintelligible.
		Appropriate use of	points.		
	Appropriate use of	academic writing	1	Academic writing	The standard of English in
	academic writing	conventions. Technical	There may be uneven	conventions are not	the dissertation overall or
	conventions. Technical	terms are used with	use of academic writing	followed correctly or	in a major part of it is so
	terms are used accurately	reasonable accuracy and	conventions. Technical	consistently. Technical	low that the research is
	and clearly defined or	are adequately defined or	terms may sometimes	terms are used	obscured and
	explained. Ideas are	explained. Ideas are	not always be used	inaccurately, and are	uninterpretable.
	expressed accurately and	largely expressed	accurately, and may	often inadequately	F
	with appropriate use of	accurately and with	sometimes not be	defined or explained.	
	modality, tense, and	appropriate use of	adequately defined or	Ideas are expressed	
	specification (articles or	modality and tense. Free	explained. Ideas are	inaccurately and without	
	other determiners).	of serious errors.	sometimes expressed	appropriate use of	
	Generally, free of errors	or serious errors.	accurately and with	modality and tense.	
	that would be picked use		appropriate use of	modality and tense.	
	by a careful proof-reader.		modality and tense, but	Errors often affect clear	
	by a careful proof-reader.		errors sometimes affect	expression of meaning.	
			clear expression of	Improvement would	
			meaning.	require substantial	
			G	rewriting, rather than	
			Contains a considerable	proofreading alone.	
			number of errors that		
			would be picked by a		
			careful proof-reader.		
			Some of which are more		
			than minor mechanical		
			errors.		

Applicable to students admitted from Semester A 2022/23 to Summer Term 2024

	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Failure
	(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B)	(B-, C+, C)	(F)
Quality of the	An excellent piece of	A good piece of research, with	An adequate piece of	An inadequate piece of
research	original research, with (a) an	(b) a critical with the literature	research, in which there is	research, in which there may
	in-depth and critical	on the topic, (b) clear fit between	evidence of an attempt to	be evidence of an attempt to
	engagement with the	literature review, research	investigate a problem.	address a problem.
	literature on the topic, (b) a	questions, methodology, and the		
	clear fit between literature	research outcomes, and (c)	The work displays an	The work displays no
	review, research questions,	clearly identified findings, which	adequate/ poor engagement	engagement with the
	methodology, and the	make a contribution to	with the literature on the	literature and serious flaws
	research outcomes, and (c) a	knowledge.	topic and is flawed in the	in research design and/or
	clearly identified and		research design or	methodologies.
	potentially significant	Shows a good understanding of theoretical and methodological	application of research	
	contribution to knowledge in	frameworks and their application	procedures. The research	The findings or conclusions,
	its topic area.	in research.	outcomes or conclusions	if present, do not relate well
			are reasonable.	to the writer's own research.
	Shows a sophisticated understanding of theoretical and methodological frameworks and their application in research.		Shows partial/sketchy understanding of theoretical and methodological frameworks and their application in research.	Shows little or no understanding of theoretical and methodological frameworks and their application in research.
Ethical review of research	Applicable (if the project involution sensitive data) & approved	ved human participants and	Applicable but not approved of	or no approval sought

Organisation of the dissertation

Has a clear organizational plan appropriate to a report of an original piece of research.

Includes a thorough, focused and concise review of relevant literature; clearly stated and answerable research questions; and detailed but concise statement of methodology; logically presented research outcomes in a format appropriate to the research methodology; and a discussion and/or conclusion drawing out the significance of the research. All of these sections should be present in an A range dissertation.

A list of references is included, which covers the most relevant titles to the research, and the APA (or similar) referencing and citation format is followed with care.

Has a clear organizational plan appropriate to a report of an original piece of research.

Includes all the sections specified for an A range dissertation, but there are weaknesses in one or two of the sections. In contrast to a C range dissertation, the research questions, methodology and research outcomes are reported logically and in detail.

A list of references is included, which covers the most relevant titles to the research. APA (or similar) referencing and citation format is followed with care, although occasional inconsistencies and errors are present.

Has a recognizable dissertation structure, but the overall organization plan and/or its implementation is not appropriate to a report of an original piece of research.

Includes some but not all of the sections specified for an A-B range dissertation; or one or more of the sections is present but fails to achieve its purpose (e.g., the literature review does not review relevant literature, the methodology does not describe a research methodology).

The list of references is inadequate for the purposes of the dissertation in that significant sources are missing and/or misreferenced.

An attempt is made to apply APA (or similar) referencing and citation format, but there are frequent inconsistencies and errors. Lacks a recognizable dissertation structure, appropriate to a report of an original piece of research.

May include some or all of the sections specified for an A-C range dissertation, but most fail to achieve their purpose. May be significantly below the recommended word limit.

The list of references is inadequate for the purposes of the dissertation. APA (or similar) referencing and citation format are applied inconsistently or not at all.

Language and style	A high standard of written	A good standard of written	An adequate/low standard of	The grammar, word choice, use
	English, although occasional	English, although some errors	written English. Frequent errors	of academic writing convention,
	errors in grammar or word	in grammar or word choice are	in grammar or word choice	technical terms, modality and
	choice may occur but do not interfere with understanding.	in evidence but do not interfere with understanding.	make parts of the dissertation difficult to understand.	tense make the dissertation largely unintelligible.
	Appropriate use of academic writing conventions. Technical terms are used accurately and clearly defined or explained. Ideas are expressed accurately and with appropriate use of modality, tense, and specification (articles or other determiners). Generally, free of errors that would be picked use by a careful proof-reader.	Appropriate use of academic writing conventions. Technical terms are used with reasonable accuracy and are adequately defined or explained. Ideas are largely expressed accurately and with appropriate use of modality and tense. Free of serious errors.	Academic writing conventions are not followed correctly or consistently. Technical terms are used inaccurately, and are often inadequately defined or explained. Ideas are expressed inaccurately and without appropriate use of modality and tense. Errors often affect clear expression of meaning. Improvement would require substantial rewriting, rather than proofreading alone.	The standard of English in the dissertation overall or in a major part of it is so low that the research is obscured and uninterpretable

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Independent work, student-directed topic, individual research, consultations with supervisor

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1.	Barry, P. (1995). Beginning Theory: An Introduction to Literary and Cultural Theory. Manchester:
	Manchester UP.
2.	Cooley, L. & Lewkowicz, J. (2003). Dissertation writing in practice: Turning ideas into text. Hong
	Kong: Hong Kong University Press.
3.	Costello, P. J. M. (2011). Effective action research: Developing reflective thinking and practice.
	New York: Continuum International Pub.
4.	Palstridge, B. & Starfield, S. (2020). Thesis and dissertation writing in a second language: a
	handbook for students and their supervisors (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge, 2020.
5.	Ridley, D. (2012). The literature review: A step-by-step guide for students (2nd ed.). London: Sage
6.	Simon Eliot and W.R. Owens (ed). (1998). A Handbook to Literary Research. New York:
	Routledge, The Open University.
7.	Strunk, W. Jr., & White, E. B. (1972). The Elements of Style. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
8.	Fabb, N. & Durant, A. (2014). How to Write Essays and Dissertations: A Guide for English
	Literature Students. (2nd ed.) New York, NY: Routledge.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.) Generic research methods

1.	Andrews, R. (2003). Research questions. New York, NY: Continuum.
2.	Bamberg, M., & Andrews, M. (2004). Considering counter narratives: Narrating, resisting,
	making sense. Philadelphia : J. Benjamins.
3.	Clandinin, D. J., & Connelly, F. M. (2000). Narrative inquiry: Experience and story in qualitative
	research. San Francisco, Calif.: Jossey-Bass.
4.	Klein, S.R. (ed.) (2012). Action research methods: Plain and simple. Basingstoke: Palgrave
	Macmillan.
5.	Creswell, J. W. (2012). Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative
	and qualitative research. Boston: Pearson.
6.	Creswell, J. W. (2013). Qualitative inquiry & research design: Choosing among five approaches.
	Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Sage.
7.	Johnson, R., Chambers, D, Raghuram, P., Tincknell, E. (2004). The practice of cultural studies.
	Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage.