City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

offered by Department of Chinese and History with effect from Semester A 2024/25

Part I Course Overv	riew
Course Title:	Capstone Project in Chinese Language and Literature
Course Code:	CAH5797
Course Duration:	One semester
Credit Units:	3
Level:	_P5
Medium of Instruction:	Chinese
Medium of Assessment:	Chinese
Prerequisites: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Precursors: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Equivalent Courses : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Exclusive Courses: (Course Code and Title)	Nil

1

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

This course aims to enable students to integrate, consolidate, and apply the theories, knowledge, academic skills acquired in the programme in developing knowledge transfer projects in Chinese language and literature. Students will learn to 1) apply theories, knowledge and academic skills into practice; 2) identify real-life problems; 3) formulate and design original solutions; 4) implement the project in a group effectively.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting	Discov	ery-en	riched
		(if	curricu	ılum re	lated
		applicable)	learnir	ig outco	omes
			(please	e tick	where
			approp	riate)	
			<i>A1</i>	A2	<i>A3</i>
1.	Identify real-life problems pertaining to the use of Chinese language and literature		✓	✓	
2.	Apply theories, knowledge, and academic skills to address real-life problems pertaining to the use of Chinese language and literature		√	√	
3.	Formulate original and creative solutions to real-life problems pertaining to the use of Chinese language and literature		√	√	√
4.	Present the project with clarity, precision and cogency		✓	✓	✓
5.	Implement the project in a group effectively		✓	✓	✓
	•	100%			

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to real-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3. Learning and Teaching Activities (LTAs)

(LTAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

LTA	ΓA Brief Description).	Hours/week		
		1	2	3	4	5	(if applicable)
Seminars and workshops	Students will attend seminars / workshops and engage in tasks such as group discussions, presentation, text / case analysis.	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	
Meetings with supervisor	Students will engage in regular meetings between the project group and the supervisor.	√	√	√	√		
Reading	Students will engage with books and articles related to the chosen topic.	√	√	√			
Project	Students will work in small groups to deliver their projects in the form of, but not limited to, written project, portfolio, video, magazine, websites, mobile app etc.	√	√	√	√	√	

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

 $(ATs\ are\ designed\ to\ assess\ how\ well\ the\ students\ achieve\ the\ CILOs.)$

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CILO No.						Weighting	Remarks	
	1	2	3	4	5				
Continuous Assessment: 100%	Continuous Assessment: 100%								
Written report	✓	✓	✓				30%		
Discussions	✓	✓	✓	✓			10%		
Presentation(s)	✓	✓	✓	✓			20%		
Project	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		40%		
Examination: 0%									

100%

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Applicable to students admitted in Semester A 2024/25 & thereafter

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B, B-)	Fair (C+, C, C-)	Marginal (D)	Failure (F)
1. Written report	 Ability to explain with rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; Rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; Ability to criticize and analysis with convincing statement and creative comment. 	Strong evidence of: • rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; • rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; • critical analysis, convincing statement and creative comment.	Some evidence of: •rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; •rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; •critical analysis, convincing statement and creative comment.	Limited evidence of: •rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; •rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; •critical analysis, convincing statement and creative comment.	Weak evidence of: • rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; • rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; • critical analysis, convincing statement and creative comment.	 Limited familiarity with the facts of the reading and its surface relations, unsystematic ideas which cannot express the subject matter or relevant themes; Loose organization, without distinct primary and secondary structure; Devoid of personal comment and/or unreasonable opinion.
2. Discussions	 Ability to identify and analyze the topics critically with excellent grasp of the materials and in- 	Strong evidence of: • positive listening, ability to stimulate class discussion and	Some evidence of: • positive listening, ability to initiate class discussion and comment on	Limited evidence of: positive listening, ability to initiate class discussion and comment on other points;	Marginally satisfies the basic requirements of the discussion and comment on other points.	Fail to meet minimum requirements of discussion and comment on other points.

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B, B-)	Fair (C+, C, C-)	Marginal (D)	Failure (F)
	depth knowledge of the subject matter; • Ability to interpret independent opinions effectively and efficiently.	comment on other points; • sufficient preclass preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials.	other points; • sufficient preclass preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials.	sufficient pre- class preparation and familiarity with peer reports and other materials.	(D)	
3. Presentation(s)	Students are required to present their proposals and projects with a clear demonstration of rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition and an ability to analyse with cogent arguments and creative comments.	Strong evidence of: • rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth knowledge of the subject matter; • rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; • superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time- management.	Some evidence of: • rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; • rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; • superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time-	Limited evidence of: rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth knowledge of the subject matter; rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time- management.	Weak evidence of: • rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; • rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; • superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time- management.	 Limited familiarity with the content, organization, structure of the proposals and projects; Overly soft voice, indistinct pronunciation and improper diction, seriously over time.

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent (A+, A, A-)	Good (B+, B, B-) management.	Fair (C+, C, C-)	Marginal (D)	Failure (F)
4. Project	 Ability to identify and address reallife problems, design and formulate original and creative solutions, articulate the project proposal with coherence, clarity, and persuasiveness; Ability to apply essential theories and practices of knowledge transfer in the project. 	Strong evidence of: insightful discovery and analysis of reallife problems with rigorous applications of knowledge transfer; outstanding originality, creativity, feasibility, sustainability of the project; rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels; rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition.	Some evidence of: insightful discovery and analysis of reallife problems with rigorous applications of knowledge transfer; outstanding originality, creativity, feasibility, sustainability of the project; rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels; rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition.	Limited evidence of: insightful discovery and analysis of reallife problems with rigorous applications of knowledge transfer; outstanding originality, creativity, feasibility, sustainability of the project; rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels; rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition.	Weak evidence of: insightful discovery and analysis of real-life problems with rigorous applications of knowledge transfer; outstanding originality, creativity, feasibility, sustainability of the project; rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels; rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition.	Unable to identify and address real-life problems, design and formulate solutions, articulate an organized project with originality, creativity, feasibility, sustainability of the project.

Applicable to students admitted from Semester A 2022/23 to Summer Term 2024

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B)	(B-,C+,C)	(F)
1. Written report	 Ability to explain with rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; Rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; Ability to criticize and analysis with convincing statement and creative comment. 	Strong evidence of: • rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; • rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; • critical analysis, convincing statement and creative comment.	Some evidence of: •rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; •rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; •critical analysis, convincing statement and creative comment.	Limited evidence of: rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; critical analysis, convincing statement and creative comment.	 Limited familiarity with the facts of the reading and its surface relations, unsystematic ideas which cannot express the subject matter or relevant themes; Loose organization, without distinct primary and secondary structure; Devoid of personal comment and/or unreasonable opinion.
2. Discussions	 Ability to identify and analyze the topics critically with excellent grasp of the materials and indepth knowledge of the subject matter; Ability to interpret 	Strong evidence of: • positive listening, ability to stimulate class discussion and comment on other points; • sufficient pre- class preparation and familiarity	Some evidence of: • positive listening, ability to initiate class discussion and comment on other points; • sufficient pre- class preparation and familiarity	Marginally satisfies the basic requirements of the discussion and comment on other points.	Fail to meet minimum requirements of discussion and comment on other points.

Assessment Task	Criterion independent opinions effectively and efficiently.	Excellent (A+, A, A-) with peer reports and other materials.	Good (B+, B) with peer reports and other materials.	Marginal (B-, C+, C)	Failure (F)
3. Presentation(s)	Students are required to present their proposals and projects with a clear demonstration of rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition and an ability to analyse with cogent arguments and creative comments.	Strong evidence of: rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth knowledge of the subject matter; rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time- management.	Some evidence of: • rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; • rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; • superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time- management.	Weak evidence of: • rich content, excellent grasp of the materials with in-depth or extensive knowledge of the subject matter; • rigorous organization, coherent structure, balanced composition; • superior presentation skills: distinct pronunciation, fluent expression and appropriate diction, exact time- management.	 Limited familiarity with the content, organization, structure of the proposals and projects; Overly soft voice, indistinct pronunciation and improper diction, seriously over time.
4. Project	• Ability to identify and address real- life problems,	Strong evidence of: • insightful discovery and	Some evidence of: • insightful discovery and	Weak evidence of: • insightful discovery and	Unable to identify and address real-life problems, design and

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B)	(B-, C+, C)	(F)
	design and formulate original and creative solutions, articulate the project proposal with coherence, clarity, and persuasiveness; • Ability to apply essential theories and practices of knowledge transfer in the project.	analysis of real- life problems with rigorous applications of knowledge transfer; outstanding originality, creativity, feasibility, sustainability of the project; rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels; rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition.	analysis of real- life problems with rigorous applications of knowledge transfer; • outstanding originality, creativity, feasibility, sustainability of the project; • rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels; • rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition.	analysis of real-life problems with rigorous applications of knowledge transfer; outstanding originality, creativity, feasibility, sustainability of the project; rich content, ability to integrate various resources into primary and secondary levels; rigorous organization, coherent structure, systematic composition.	formulate solutions, articulate an organized project with originality, creativity, feasibility, sustainability of the project.

Not applicable to students admitted before Semester A 2022/23

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Knowledge transfer, knowledge applications, digital humanities, Chinese language, Chinese literature, Chinese culture, creative writing, media writing.

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

- 1. 林慶彰,《學術論文寫作指引(文科適用)》,第二版,臺北:萬卷樓,2011。
- 2. 項潔編,《數位人文要義:尋找類型與軌跡》,臺北:國立臺灣大學出版中心,2012。
- 3. 漢寶德,《文化與文創》,臺北:聯經出版公司,2014。

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

ed. The Hague: Eleven International Publishing, 2011.

許焯權主編,《香港文化及創意產業與珠江三角洲的關係研究:終期報告》,香港:香 港大學文化政策研究中心,2006。 黄擎天、范俊南,《廣告文案全攻略:香港實戰版》,香港:明窗出版社,1999。 黄淑嫻,《香港影像書寫:作家、電影與改編》,香港:香港大學出版社,2014。 賴蘭香,《傳媒中文寫作(全新修訂本)》,香港:中華書局,2012。 吳小如、吳同賓,《中國文史工具資料書舉要》 ,天津:天津古籍出版社,2002。 項潔編,《從保存到創造:開啟數位人文研究》,臺北:國立臺灣大學出版中心,2011。 6. 7. 項潔編,《數位人文研究的新視野:基礎與想像》,臺北:國立臺灣大學出版中心,2011。 Albrecht Rothacher 著,黎曉旭譯,《品牌背後的故事:品牌經營策略與企業文化》,臺 北:久石文化,2006。 Cooper, David, Christopher Donaldson, and Patricia Murrieta-Flores, eds. Literary Mapping in the Digital Age. London: Routledge, 2016. 10. De Waal Malefyt, Timothy, Robert J. Morais. Advertising and Anthropology: Ethnographic Practice and Cultural Perspectives. London: Routledge, 2020. 11. Gray, David. Doing Research in the Real World. 4th ed. London: SAGE Publications, 2018. 12. Martin, Sylvia J. Haunted: An Ethnography of the Hollywood and Hong Kong Media Industries. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2017. 13. Schreibman, Susan, Ray Siemens, and John Unsworth, eds. A New Companion to Digital Humanities. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2016. 14. Smith, Lisa Mooney. Knowledge Transfer in Higher Education Collaboration in the Arts and Humanities. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012. 15. Tao, Hongyin, and Howard Hao-Jan Chen, eds. Chinese for Specific and Professional Purposes: Theory, Pedagogical Applications, and Practices. Singapore: Springer, 2019. 16. Terras, Melissa, Julianne Nyhan, and Edward Vanhoutte, eds. Defining Digital Humanities. Surrey: Ashgate, 2013. 17. Verhoeven, Pieternella Susanna. Doing Research: The Hows and Whys of Applied Research. 3rd