## MARKING GUIDE FOR ARBITRAL HEARINGS

Claimant: (Law School) $\qquad$

First Oralist: $\qquad$ Second Oralist: $\qquad$

Respondent: (Law School) $\qquad$

First Oralist: $\qquad$ Second Oralist: $\qquad$

| Criteria | C1 | C2 | R1 | R2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Presentation Skills <br> Maintenance of eye contact <br> Clear and confident speech <br> Well modulated vocal tone and posture <br> Good body language and posture | /10 | /10 | /10 | /10 |
| Structure of Argument <br> Clear and logical structure <br> Effective introduction and conclusion <br> Clear and correct identification of issues <br> Good use of time (prioritization of issues, respect for time limits) | /10 | /10 | /10 | /10 |
| Content of Argument <br> Strength of legal argument <br> Use of authorities <br> Use of facts in record <br> Relevance and persuasiveness of policy arguments | /10 | /10 | /10 | /10 |
| Question answering <br> Understanding of questions <br> Answers responsive to questions asked Integration of answers into argument Handling irrelevant or erroneous questions | /10 | /10 | /10 | /10 |
| Etiquette and Teamwork <br> Proper introduction of self and other <br> Respect for opposing counsel and tribunal <br> Correct reference to exhibits, citations, authorities <br> Effective communication with partner during argument | /10 | /10 | /10 | /10 |
| Totals | /50 | /50 | /50 | /50 |


| Total Score of Claimant | $=/ 100$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Score of Respondent | $=/ 100 \quad$ Signature............................................................ |

Marks are allocated on a scale of 25-50 points for each oralist
(25-30 = low; 32-35 = average; 36-40 = good; 41-45 = very good; 46-50 = excellent)

